NASA CR-168350 - Vol. III

7N-59020

ATTACHMENT II FOR THE FINAL REPORT

**REPORT ON PHASE II** 

. . 3

DESIGN DEFINITION OF THE PROOF-OF-CONCEPT MODEL FOR THE LTA HIGH ALTITUDE POWERED PLATFORM (HAPP)

NASA CONTRACT NO NAS 6-3131

# **PREPARED FOR:**

NASA GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER WALLOPS SPACE FLIGHT CENTER WALLOPS ,ISLAND ,VA 23337

# PREPARED BY:

ILC DOVER P.O. BOX 266 FREDERICA, DE 19946

(NASA-CR-168350-Vcl-3) DESIGN DEFINITION OF THE PROOF-OF-CONCEPT MODEL FOR THE LTA HIGH ALTITUDE POWEREL FLATFORM (HAPF). VOLUME 3: FEPORT ON PHASE 2 Final Report (ILC Dover) 112 p

JVE

N87-70332 59020

Unclas 00/18 42922

November 23, 1982

REPORT ON PHASE II

# DESIGN DEFINITION OF THE

# PROOF-OF-CONCEPT MODEL

### FOR THE

# LTA HIGH ALTITUDE POWERED PLATFORM (HAPP)

NASA CONTRACT NO. NAS6-3131

# PREPARED FOR:

NASA, WALLOPS FLIGHT CENTER GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER WALLOPS ISLAND, VA 23337

PREPARED BY:

4

KARL STEFAN PROJECT ENGINEER ILC DOVER

APPROVED BY:

alph 10 Wis

RALPH'W. WEIS, JR. MANAGER, INFLATABLE PRODUCTS AIR APPLICATIONS ILC DOVER

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

्रियोक विकेश वि

×,

· · · ·

ť.

# REPORT ON PHASE II

	REPORT ON PHASE II			PAGE
1.0	INTRODUCTION		•	1
2.0	OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENT	••	•	3
3.0	SYSTEM SELECTION	••	•	7
	3.1 SCALING STUDY	••	•	7
	3.2 WEIGHT AND BALANCE STUDY	•••	•	14
4.0	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	• •		16
	4.1 SUB-SYSTEMS	• •	••	16
	4.1.1 HULL STRUCTURE	•		16
	4.1.2 PROPULSION SYSTEM	•	••	16
	4.1.3 GAS PRESSURE SYSTEM	•	••	18
	4.1.4 ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	•	•••	22
	4.1.5 FLIGHT CONTROL SYSTEM	•		23
	4.1.5.1 FUNCTIONS	•		.23
	4.1.5.2 LOGIC REQUIREMENT	•	• •	24
	4.1.5.3 SENSORS	•	• •	26
	4.1.5.4 PILOT DISPLAY	•	• •	. 27
	4.1.5.5 CONTROLS	•	• •	. 28
	4.1.6 PAYLOAD	•	•	. 29
	4.2 GROUND COMPONENTS	•	•	. 29
5.0	FABRICATION PROCEDURES	•	•	. 30
	5.1 COMPONENTS MANUFACTURING	•	•	. 30
	5.1.1 HULL SYSTEM	•	•	. 30
	5.1.2 PROPULSION SYSTEM	•	•	. 31
	5.1.3 CONTROL SYSTEM	•	•	. 32
	5.1.4 GROUND HANDLING	•	•	. 32

i

ŀ

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents results of Phase II of a feasibility study for a High Altitude Powered Platform (HAPP) performed under Contract No. NAS6-3131 with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Wallops Flight Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Wallops Island, VA.

The objective of Phase II, paraphrased from the Statement of Work, was to develop the design definition for a proof-of-concept model of the HAPP airship as conceived in Phase I. This scaled proof-of-concept model is representative of the final HAPP design of Phase I except that it is powered by a self contained power system and not by a microwave link. The proof-of-concept model, hereafter called the "Demonstrator" is designed to verify the HAPP vehicle concept as well as its operational feasibility, but will not totally address the final design mission requirements.

The design objectives for the Demonstrator are more specifically outlined in correspondence\* as follows. The scale model will serve to demonstrate the major program objectives and uncertainties by demonstrating system erection, launch, ascent to some reasonable altitude (probably on the order of 50K ft), descent, and recovery. The efforts during this phase included scaling studies to determine the

\*ILC Dover letter to Harvey Needleman, NASA Wallops Flight Center dated August 7, 1982.

1

.

1.1

V.,

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

optimum model size, and operating scenario to most accurately demonstrate full-scale vehicle objectives. Aerostat configurations, ballonet control, materials, power system specification, control systems, guidance system, launch and recovery procedures were addressed in this effort.

The model goal is maximum simulation of full scale components and characteristics.

. . . . .

The operations for the demonstrator vehicle have been conceived as consisting of two flight programs. The first flight program will primarily be proof of the structural and physical handling characteristics of the ship. The flight or flights in this program will explore and develop the practical techniques for ground handling and launching as well as the ship recovery. The flight itself must go to an altitude high enough to demonstrate the practicality of the ballonet concept and the ability to physically trim and control the airship during cruise and descent for landing. In the descent phase it will be especially important to demonstrate that the mixing of air with the helium is sufficiently uniform to retain trim control. The scale model size for an adequate demonstration of these characteristics must be such that it can ascend to an altitude where density difference requires that the ballonet volume be a major portion of the ship, so that the practical aspects of the main ballonet diaphragm operating in conjunction with the helium compartment and the trim ballonets is demonstrated. The ship must also be of sufficient physical dimensions that ground handling equipment, forces, and wind effects will be representative of the problems associated with handling a large smooth-skinned airship.

The second part of the flight program will be aimed at the collection of aerodynamic and performance data for the airship. To this end, after the first flight program is completed, the ship will be instrumented for the collection of the aerodynamic and performance data.

The flight program will then provide data for verifying the analytical basis and design parameters for the ship and providing information for changes where needed. To this end the most essential parameter to be simulated in the demonstrator is the Reynolds number of the full scale HAPP vehicle in its operational environment. Further the structural features as they affect the aerodynamics and performance must be so that the operational results either verify the actual physical design, or alternately verify the analytical procedure to provide confidence in applying the procedure to the full-scale vehicle.

The demonstrator flight capabilities to meet the above requirements was established as follows:

- 1. Launch and recover in surface winds up to 10 knots.
- Winds aloft profile not to exceed the Washington DC summer 84% profile (Figure 2-1).
- 3. Ascend at 150 meters per minute.

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

i.

- 4. After remote-power ascent, the ship will motor back to station at 55 knots (Threshold power) air speed. It will stay on station for eight hours of daytime and nighttime maneuvering at 55 knots.
- 5. The ship will then motor away from station to proper position for commencing descent.
- Descent will be powered and controlled at threshold power to arrive at the landing site.
- Descent would be interrupted at the appropriate altitude for forty minutes of flight at maximum Reynolds number.



WASHINGTON, DC WIND SUMMER 1 +  $\sigma$  PROFILE

.

-

:

FIGURE 2-1

 Eight hours of fuel at threshold power for landing would be provided.

9. Four hours reserve fuel at maximum power would be provided.

1 1 2/07

.

 The maximum Reynolds number to be duplicated is 36.9 million. This is the Reynolds number for the full scale HAPP vehicle, length 123.3 M at 20 km and 93 Kts.

### 3.0 SYSTEM SELECTION

### 3.1 SCALING STUDY

 $\{\cdot\}$ 

The HAPP parametric computer program as reported in Phase I was adapted for the HAPP demonstrator scaling studies. Changes were made to comply with the flight profile outlined in section 2, above, and to include Reynold's number evaluations. A copy of the program is included in Appendix A. A list of input data is given in Table 3-1 and a sample data printout from the program is given in Table 3-2.

With the use of this program, the chart of Figure 3-1 was developed to facilitate the decision with regard to demonstrator size and operating altitude. A basic assumption for this study was the engine power of 56 kilowatts as discussed in Section 4.1.2 Propulsion Systems. With reference to Figure 3-1, a calculation of demonstrator size and performance parameters with the 56 kilowatt power plant was made for each altitude from 13 to 21 kilometers altitude. The airship volume for each altitude along with the airship airspeed at maximum power and the 84 percentile wind velocity is shown for each altitude. The downward pointing arrow from the balloon at each altitude terminates at the altitude to which the airship must descend in order to duplicate the maximum Reynold's number of 36.9 million which the full scale HAPP may achieve. At the termination of each of these arrows the airship velocity at full power is listed, which also corresponds to the 36.9M maximum Reynold's number for the full scale HAPP. Under it is listed the sea level air speed that is achievable with this power for purposes of landing maneuvers.

### TABLE 3-1

2. T 3.

.

ر در ۲۰ از در ۲۰

.

2

: ::·--

•••

.

· · · · ·

INPUTS TO HAPP DEMO 26 OCT 82 COMPUTER PROGRAM

SYMBOL	ITEM	INPUT			
P(), TE(), R()	AMBIENT PRESSURE TEMPERATURE DENSITY	U.S. STANDARD ATMOSPHERE 1962			
E(1)	EFFICIENCY, PROPELLER, E(1)	0.90			
E(2)	EFFICIENCY, GEARBOX, E(3)	0.95			
WC(1)	WEIGHT COEFFICIENT, PROPELLER	2.1 Kg/Kw			
WC(2)	WEIGHT COEFFICIENT, SHAFT	0.0119 Kg/Kw M)			
WC(3)	WEIGHT COEFFICIENT, GEARBOX	0.43 Kg/Kw			
WC(4)	PRIMARY ENGINE (RECIP)	4.2 Kg/Kw			
WC(7)	GENERATOR	1.1 Kg/Kw			
UK(1)	AIRSPEED, THRESHOLD	55 Kt			
U(ZT)	WINDS ALOFT	WASH. D.C. SUMMER 84% PROFILE			
RA	R-AIR	287.053 J(KG °R)			
RH	R-HELIUM	2077.23 J(KG °R)			
CP	DYNAMIC LIFT FACTOR	1.2			
CP(1)	DYNAMIC LIFT FACTOR	1.0			
SH	SUPERHEAT	16.7 °K			
SC	SUPERCOOL	-17.2 °K			
VO DC CD	AIRSHIP VOLUME DESCENT DRAG COEFFICIENT CRUISE DRAG COEFFICIENT, SOFT FINS HARD FINS	10550 M <sup>3</sup> 0.028 0.018 0.016			
RD	RATE OF DESCENT	150 m/min			
RC	RATE OF CLIMB	150 m/min			
ALT	CRUISE ALTITUDE	15000 M			
PUR	HELIUM PURITY	0.95			
LH(1)	FUEL UNIT WEIGHT	0.19 Kg/KwHr			
LH(2)	FUEL TANK AND SUPPORT UNIT WEIGHT	0.022 Kg/KwHr			
K(9)	PAYLOAD POWER REQUIREMENT	1.0 Kw			
K(8)	AVIONICS POWER REQUIREMENT	1.13 Kw			
K1(4)	PRIMARY ENGINE POWER OUT	56 Kw			
B(9)	PAYLOAD WEIGHT	100 Kg			
B(9)	AVIONICS WEIGHT	117.3 Kg			
B(13)	BALLAST WEIGHT	ENGINE WEIGHT			
F5	SAFETY FACTOR, TEXTILE STRUCTURES	5			

8

• .

### TABLE 3-1 (cont)

SYMBOL	ITEM	INPUT
MHW FFW RFW BFW	HULL FABRIC MINIMUM UNIT WEIGHT FIN FABRIC UNIT WEIGHT RIB FABRIC UNIT WEIGHT BALLONET FABRIC UNIT WEIGHT	0.11867 Kg/M <sup>2</sup> 0.11867 Kg/M <sup>2</sup> 0.07 Kg/M <sup>2</sup> 0.085 Kg/M <sup>2</sup>
T(4) BV(1) SL	TRIM BALLONETS VOLUME AS PORPORTION OF AIRSHIP VOLUME BALLONET VOLUME AT MAXIMUM SUPER- HEAT SHAFT LENGTH	0.05 0 10 M

:

τ.,

• • • •

• :

• • • • • • \*/• •

· :--

.

INPUTS TO HAPP DEMO 26 OCT 82 COMPUTER PROGRAM

1

.

TABLE 3-2

Ē.

. .

-	DOLPHIN	SOFT	FINS	23AUGB2						
• •	VUL Mt3	ALT KM	THRSH. KW	LIMIT KW	PROP KW	WEUN Kg	PSWT Kg	FUEL KG	PLD KG	BLST NG
-	10550	15	23,290	56	45.963	692.00 48%	498.00 35%	149.00	100	235.2
-	SUPER H CI = .0: SAFTEY I UNIT FAI WEIG	EAT = 18 Factor B WT=, HTS KO	18.7 K ( = 5 11867 KG SS:	/H2	SUF FRC IAN NIT	PERCOOL = )F CD = , PRESS ( FE PRESS=	-17.2 M 01876818 CM H20) 2.5	45 = 12,273		
	TAPE WT FIN SYS CONE WT VALVE W	= 27 = 55 = 47 [ = 3,	.1205152 1271831 .22350151 PDWER	SYSTEM	HUL Bal Blo	L = 339. ONT SYS WER = 13	00644 = 206.59 .9036066	20872		
	PROPELLE GEAR BD) RECTENNA AUXE ENC AVIONIC	R = 9 ( = 22 A = 0 S = 0 S = 11	26.5223 2.876 27.3		SHA FRI TRA GEN TAN WAT	HET = 6.3 ME MOTOR NS. WIRE NERATOR INS = 17. ER RECOV	308 = 235.2 = 0 = 2.343 3103388 ERY=0	2		
	RECTENNA MICROWAN LIFT = 1	AREA VE BEA 1074.4 VELC	) =0 NM KW/M2= 18424 KGS JCITIES,	0 Kts	ANG WEIGH	SLE DF IN 17 = 1674	CIDENCE 155296 M	LIMIT=0 (GS		
	LIMIT=7 AUX DES VOLUME=1 DEMONSTR	3.3835 IGN=0 10550 RATDR	5826 TH CUI M3. II VOLUME=	RESHOLI: RE AVE>T IAMETER 10550 CL	55 HRES=0 =19.43 M BIC METE	LENG	TH WITH = 37258	5% CUT=6 69 CURIC	3.33 M FEET	
	CALC LI VD=1055( ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM	(IT SF = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 12 = 12 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 15	* 1 2EEU AT 0 7;KM=15 U, KTS=60 U, KTS=60 U, KTS=60 U, KTS=7 U, KTS=7 V, KT	* * * * THER ALT ENG KW= 2.7 6.1 7.6 7.6 7.3 1.5 * * * * 50 M/MIN * 1.4444	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * WR 55 58 58 58 58 58 58 59 59 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 51 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	* * 963 ESIGN ** * * * UMMER 84	κ . χ		
	BLOWOFF TIME TO FUEL USE	DISTA AUXBA	NCE =145 CK TD ST ENT AND *	-1.0000 .492994 ATION =6 AUXBACK <u>K_</u> * * *	KM. ∙9154929 =30.6020 DN-STATI	6HRS, AT 88 KG ON PROFI	THRESHO	LI SPD		
	SHAFLUN SHIF SPE FOR 4HRS	WINU EIU DN S RESE X X DESCE	=43.64 N   THRESHD   RVE + 4H   * * * IS(  NT AT 15	IS LI FOWER RS MANEU CENT FRO D M/MIN	=55 KNOT VERING Ø FILE, A	S:LIMITI LIMIT 7 UXAWAY A	NG VEL = 3.4 KTS NI DSCEN	73.3835 STATION NT AT THR	826KTS FUEL WT = ESHOLD *	= 85,12 * * * *
_	TIME TO FUEL FOR AUXAWAY	DESCE DESC AT AL	NÚ FROM ENT = 7. T TIME A	15 KM=1. 37524863 NU_DISTA	666666667 KGS NCE = 1.	' HRS 48332981	HRS ANI	-31.207	3336 KM	

With the use of this program the chart of Figure 3-1 was developed to facilitate the decision with regard to demonstrator size and operating altitude. A basic assumption for this study was the engine power of 56 kilowatts as discussed in section 4.1.2 Propulsion Systems. With reference to Figure 3-1, a calculation of demonstrator size and performance parameters with the 56 kilowatt power plant was made for each altitude from 13 to 21 kilometers altitude. The airship volume for each altitude along with the airship airspeed at max power and the 84 percentile wind velocity is shown for each altitude. The downward pointing arrow from the balloon at each altitude terminates at the altitude to which the airship must descend in order to duplicate the maximum Reynold's number of 36.9 million which the full scale HAPP may achieve. At the termination of each of these arrows the airship velocity at full power is listed which also corresponds to the 36.9M maximum Reynold's number for the full scale HAPP. Under it is listed the sea level air speed that is achievable with this power for purposes of landing maneuvers.

Ballonet air volume at sea level is a function of the altitude to which the ship will ascend. It is 78% of the total ship volume for a design altitude of 13km and increased to 93% for flight at 20 km where the full-scale HAPP will fly. It is considered that any flight design altitude from 13 to 20 Km sets a major portion of the airship into ballonet volume and would adequately demonstrate the ballonet concept.



5

2

L

· : •

. 17

; .

FIGURE 3-1

Ballonet air volume at sea level is a function of the altitude to which the ship will ascend. It is 78% of the total ship volume for a design altitude of 13 km and increased to 93% for flight at 20 km where the full-scale HAPP will fly. It is considered that any flight design altitude from 13 to 20 km sets a major portion of the airship into ballonet volume and would adequately demonstrate the ballonet concept.

.,...

The probability of atmospheric turbulence and/or high winds is an important consideration for selection of the demonstrator flight altitude. The wind must be low enough at cruise altitude to permit execution of a maneuvering test program without being blown far off station, and the atmosphere must be non-turbulent to avoid disturbance to laminar flow tests. For these reasons 15 km appears as a minimum demonstrator design altitude. The higher altitudes require increased volumes and vehicle manufacturing costs. In consideration of these factors, an altitude of 15 kilometers, which is safely above the tropopause, has been selected for the demonstrator design altitude. This results in a ship of 10,500 cubic meters which with 56 kilowatts of power will fly at 74 knots at 15 km altitude where the 84 percentile wind is 44 knots. To duplicate the 36.8 million Reynold's number, the ship would descend to 14 kilometers where it could fly at 70 knots. On descent to sea level, it could maneuver at 34 knots for its landing operations. Table 3-2 presents the detailed computer printout for this configuration.

### 3.2 WEIGHT AND BALANCE STUDY

As in the Phase I airship concept, the stern propulsion does penalize the system with undesirable weight in the tail area requiring careful disposition of other weights as far forward as possible, and also the addition of ballast forward to give a balanced situation. In the demonstrator, the fuel is placed at the center of buoyancy in order to avoid the complication of a water recovery system (as required for the full scale HAPP). The disposition of weights in the demonstrator is presented in Table 3-3. In order to achieve the balanced condition, two rather drastic steps were taken. One is foreshortening of the tail from the idealized dolphin shape by 5% and secondly, placing the engine 10m forward of the propeller.

Similar measures were also taken on the full-scale HAPP so the demonstrator will in this respect simulate the full-scale vehicle and possible problems that may attend these measures.

### TABLE 3-3

### HAPP DEMONSTRATOR WEIGHT & BALANCE

REF: DISK "HAPP DEMOWTB 22 OCT 82" AND DRAWING SK 82-1537 CENTER OF BUOYANCY AT 30.53 m FROM NOSE ON CENTERLINE

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION

3

.

× 61

LONGITUDINAL, 30.56 m FROM NOSE VERTICAL, BELOW CENTERLINE AT LAUNCH 2.08 m CRUISE 3.38 m LANDING 2.36 m

NO.	ITEM	LONGITUDINAL		VERTICAL		
	<u>.</u>	WEIGHT, KG	STATION, M	WEIGHT, KG	BELOW CL	
0	BLOWER	13.9	52.5	13.9	0	
1	PROPELLER	96.52	62.3	96.5	0	
2	SHAFT	6.33	58.14	6.3	0	
3	GEARBOX	22.88	53.1	22.9	0	
4	PRI MUTUR	235.2	52.5	235.2	0	
5	KEUTENNA	0	0	U		
7	CENEDATOD	2 24	U 52 5	2.24	-	
8	AVIANTOS	2.34	52.5	2.34	7	
q	ΡΔΥΙ ΠΔΠ	100	6	100	-7	
10	WIRF	100	0	100	-/	
11	CONE RINGS	47	52	47	n l	
12	H20 RECOVER	Ó	· 0	o l	-	
13	BALLAST	235.2	6	235.2	-7	
14	FINS	55.1	55.9			
15	FUEL	149	30.5	149	-11.5	
16	TANKS&STR	17.2	30.5	17.3	-11.5	
17	HULL&BALS	572.7	29.62	-	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
18	VALVES	3.2	30.53	3.2	0	
19		0	0	0		
20	CENTER OF		20 52	1670.0		
21	BUUTANUT	10/3.9	30.53	16/3.9	0	
21	TE CEMPI.			58.3	+8.5	
~~	2 IRIM			20.0	74.0	
23	MATN RAL		1	121	+8 +0 -10	
20	IONET DISC			161	.0 00 -10	
24	HULL&TAPES			365.5	0	
25	TOP FIN			18.3	+7.5	
26	2 LOWER			36.7	-3.5	
	FINS					
		<i>,</i>				

### WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

### 4.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

<u>.</u>.'

(°

The demonstrator is a one-half linear scale model (.52 to be exact) of the full-scale HAPP. The shape is proportioned down in a linear fashion and in-so-far as possible, the components simulate the full-scale vehicle. The airship assembly is illustrated in ILC drawing SK82-1537 (Enclosure 2-1).

### 4.1 SUB-SYSTEMS

For discussion purposes, the ship is divided into the following subsystems: hull structure, propulsion system, gas pressure system, electrical system, flight control system, guidance and information system, and payload.

### 4.1.1 Hull Structure

Hull structure consists of the basic envelope of fabric and seams, the fins, the main ballonet, the trim ballonets, the helium compartment and the tail compartment. The structure and materials of all of these hull components duplicates (except for size) that of the fullscale ship. The envelope skin material is specifically the lightest weight hull fabric that is specified for the full-scale ship in the Phase I report Section 8.2.

### 4.1.2 Propulsion System

The Propulsion System consists of the engine, drive shaft, propeller hub and the propeller. The main propulsion engine for the demonstrator is intended to be of the same type and construction as the auxiliary engine for the full-scale vehicle. This engine is conceptually

a four-cylinder aluminum block reciprocating engine with a turbocharger and liquid cooling. A report on a brief investigation into engine possibilities is presented in Appendix B. As a result of this study, the engine power selected is 56 kilowatts (75 horsepower) which would be available by modification of an existing engine block. An existing engine would be selected to minimize development expense. A two-step turbo-charger with intercoolers would also be required and a radiator for disposition of engine heat at the 15 kilometer altitude would be required. The sizing parameters selected which appear to comply with current technology is 0.88 kilograms per kilowatt for the turbo-charger, 1.11 kilograms per kilowatt for the engine block assembly, and 2.21 kilograms per kilowatt for the cooling system, including liquid, radiator, and intercooling. This gives 4.20 Kg/kw for the engine system.

As discussed under weight and balance, it is necessary to carry the engine in a forward position for balance purposes and a 10 meter long shaft is needed to carry the engine torque to the propeller assembly. The shaft weight is carried parametrically in the program as a thin walled aluminum tube.

The propeller would be a 3-bladed kevlar composite propeller developed with technology similar to that used in existing composite propellers and wind turbines as reported in descriptive material by T.M. Development Company in Appendix C. The propeller diameter would be 10.4 meters with 3-bladed construction and weigh 96.5 kilograms with its hub. The propeller hub would provide for full-pitch control of

the propeller blades including reverse pitch for landing purposes. The propeller is to provide a vectored thrust for propulsion and steering of the vehicle, therefore, the propeller hub will be of a gimbled construction to permit vectoring the propeller up to  $22\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ from the longitudinal centerline in any direction. The propeller hub mechanically would be similar to the mounting mechanism on frontwheel-drive automobiles, except gimballing would be required in two planes. A conceptual sketch of such a mechanism is shown in Figure 4-1.

### 4.1.3 Gas Pressure System

.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The gas pressure system is the means whereby the hull of the ship is pressurized to maintain its shape. The entire hull plus the fins is carried at a positive gauge pressure. The schematic of the system is shown in Figure 4-2. (SK82-1538) The various compartments within the hull of the ship which must be pressurized are the air chamber which is the space in the hull beneath the main ballonet diaphragm, the helium compartment which is the semi-cylindrical tube attached to the top center of the hull to contain the initial charge of helium, the trim ballonets, one located forward and one aft, which are inflated according to the pitch trim requirement of the ship, the tail section consisting of the fins and the aft ten meters of the hull, and finally the utility compartment canopy. As shown in the schematic, the air is supplied from the main blower through a plenum chamber to the air chamber, the helium chamber, the trim ballonets, and the tail section. The utility canopy is fitted with its own

. . . 

.



# **PROPELLER GIMBAL ASSEMBLY**





223 - 4

•••

1 () 2 () 2 () 2 ()

1

FIGURE 4-2, HAPP DEMONSTRATOR AIRSHIP GAS PRESSURE SYSTEM

blower which takes air from the main air chamber into the utility area to maintain it at a pressure slightly above the air chamber pressure. During periods of high demand, the tail section with fins is supplied with air from the main blower through the plenum chamber. During a steady-state flight condition, a small blower provides the air required to compensate for minor leaks and thus avoid the use of the main blower. All the compartments are fitted with vent valves by which excess air or helium may be vented to the atmosphere. Drain tubes are provided in the main ballonet air chamber and in the trim ballonets to provide for complete scavenging of air from these compartments when they are in collapsed condition. When the ship is in the launch condition, air in the main air chamber under the main diaphragm would fill the ship to about 84% full of air, and the tail section would be full of air. The remainder of the ship volume would be occupied by helium in the helium compartment. During ascent, the air chamber vent valves would be activated by pressure sensors to maintain the hull at a programmed pressure above ambient for structure purposes. In the troposheric region, where atmospheric turbulence may be encountered, hull pressure would be maintained at about 12 centimeters of water. When the ship has reached cruise altitude, programmed operation of the blower and chamber vent valves will allow pressure to vary from 2.5 cm. of water at night to 12.3 cm. of water in the day time. The trim ballonets would be inflated with air differentially as needed to maintain the desired pitch trim. When the trim ballonets are filled or deflated according to trim needs, the main air chamber air controls would be activated as needed to maintain the programmed pressure within the hull.

Second of the state of

-

ć

The tail section consisting of the aft portion of the hull and the fins are all interconnected and inflated with air. This air pressure is maintained at a pressure slightly higher than the helium pressure so that the tail section diaphragm will be slightly stressed to a convex forward shape.

Gas pressure control system will consist of pressure sensors, delivering signals to a micro processor which then issues command to the blower, plenum valves, and vent valves. Pressure sensors would be located on top of the ship, one forward, one mid-ship inside the helium compartment, one mid-ship outside the helium compartment, and one aft. Another set of sensors would be positioned along the bottom of the ship, one forward, one mid-ship, one aft. One pressure sensor would be located in the tail section. A redundant sensor would be provided at all locations.

### 4.1.4 Electrical System

Electrical power will be required for the flight control system, the guidance and information system, thermal control on some components, the payload, and external lighting. The overall power requirement is estimated at 2.13 kilowatts for which a generator weighing 2.3 kilograms will be required. A battery with 1 kilowatts capacity will provide for 8 hours of airship operation in case of generator failure and 24 hours of avionics operation in case of engine failure.

-2

### 4.1.5 Flight Control System

4.1

13

福島

The Demonstrator Flight Control System would consist of a manned ground control station with telemetry and command links to sensors and controls on the airship. The ship would be controlled from the ground by a trained operator acting as "pilot". The pilot's task would be a facilitated by information and command processing units on the ground and on the ship.

### 4.1.5.1 Functions

The following flight functions will be required:

For all flight operations:

Keep hull pressure above minimum.

Maintain pitch trim with ballonets to minimize propeller gimbal angle.

Ascent

Control ascent rate

Travel to Station

Maintain designated altitude

Navigate to station

Station Keeping

Maintain designated altitude

Maintain position

Maneuver for flight tests

1.4

Travel from Station

Maintain designated altitude

Navigate to descent position

### Descent

Control descent rate Navigate to landing field

### Landing

Make landing approach

### 4.1.5.2 Logic Requirement

Figure 4-3 outlines the logic for the "maintain altitude" function. Similar logic developments will apply for the following functions:

Maintain Altitude (as given in Figure 1)

Ascent Rate

Trim Control

Navigation

Descent Rate

Landing Approach

Abort

Logic functions would be performed by a "pilot" in a ground control station assisted by microprocessors in the ship and on the ground. The microprocessor would provide an "auto pilot" function for simple maneuvers. Airship data would be provided to the pilot in real time via telemetry and displayed on a console. Position information on a



FIGURE 4-3

ι.

•

CRT plot would be from ground based tracking radar supplemented by on-board GPS data.

Sensors which will be required for the Demonstrator are as follows:

4.1.5.3 Sensors

.

12

ITEM SENSED Ambient pressure, absolute Magnetic heading Pitch angle Angle of Attack Airspeed Gimbal vertical angle Gimbal horizontal angle Propeller RPM Latitude, Longitude SENSOR Transducer Stabilized compass Damped Pendulum Ion-drift meter (TSI) Ion-drift meter (TSI) Angle Angle Tachometer Ground Radar, onboard Loran

### Differential Pressures

Helium Compartment to Helium Chamber Main Air Chamber to Helium Chamber Forward Trim Ballonet to Helium Chamber Rear Trim Ballonet to Helium Chamber Helium Chamber to Ambient Temperature, gas and surfaces (10) Engine RPM and Health Information Transducer Transducer Transducer Transducer Transducer Thermistor Tachometer, Vibration, Temperatures

Fuel quantity and flow rate	•	Liquid Quantity,
		Flow Rate
External Ballast Remaining		On-off Circuit
Internal Ballast Remaining		Liquid Quantity

### 4.1.5.4 Pilot Display

. Р. . . .

Ę,

25

Sensor information would be telemetered to the ground, processed, and displayed to give the pilot information as follows:

Altitude and Altitude change rate

Pitch angle

Angle of Attack

Gimbal vertical angle

Propeller RPM

Engine RPM

Airspeed

Geographic position plot

Track plot

Heading and Heading rate of change

Ground Speed

Gimbal horizontal angle

Helium Compartment Gage Pressure

Main Air Chamber percent full

Forward Trim Ballonet percent full

Rear Trim Ballonet percent full

Envelope Gage Pressure

Gas Temperature

Fuel Quantity and Fuel Flow

Engine vibration and temperatures Clutch disengaged, speed 1, speed 2 Propeller Forward or Reverse Pitch

### 4.1.5.5 Controls

.

The pilot would have radio command controls as follows:

Gimbal vertical angle, proportional

Gimbal horizontal angle, proportional

Engine speed, proportional

Engine - propeller gear ration, 3 position (2 speeds and disengage)

Propeller pitch, 2 position (forward and reverse)

Helium compartment transfer valve, proportional

Helium compartment vent valve

Forward Trim ballonet inlet valve and vent valve

Rear trim ballonet inlet valve and vent valve

Main air chamber inlet valve and vent valve

Main helium chamber vent valve and air inlet valve

Air blower on-off

External ballast drop, timed

Internal ballast drop, timed

Airship hull minimum pressure would be safeguarded by automatic circuitry to energize the blower and deliver air to the main air chamber if the main helium chamber pressure falls below a preset minimum.

Air ship over pressure is prevented by automatic opening first of air chamber vent valves and next by opening of helium chamber vent valves if preset pressure limits are exceeded.

Tail and fins pressures are automatically maintained by a dedicated small blower. A general description of the system electronics hardware conceived by Motorola Corp. is attached as Appendix D.

### 4.1.6 Payload

÷.

The only payload which has been specified is a small scale microwave link with the ground to simulate in miniature the transmission of power by microwave to the airship. One hundred kilograms of weight has been allocated for a payload.

### 4.2 GROUND COMPONENTS

The ground components will parallel the full-scale system components in practically all respects. The launching facility will require a hangar with rigging, electronic, and machine shops and a control point such as a control tower, and open field space at least one thousand feet in diameter to accommodate the launch and recovery.

The ship would be mounted on a large dolly for the ground handling activities. Docking rails into the hangar would be desirable to simulate the full-scale vehicle handling. Procedures will be as outlined in the Phase I report.

### 5.0 FABRICATION PROCEDURES

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The fabrication procedures will parallel those employed for the fullscale vehicle and will provide valuable experience for the future full-scale ship. The components of the ship would be manufactured separately at various manufacturers plants and integrated into a final assembly at an appropriate facility such as the Navy airship hangar at Lakehurst.

### 5.1 COMPONENTS MANUFACTURING

### 5.1.1 Hull System

The manufacturing procedure for the hull would be the same as for the full-scale system as reported in the Phase I report with the exception that the system could be fabricated in its entirety at a manufacturer's plant because of its smaller size as compared to the full-scale vehicle.

The textile materials of the hull must be custom woven and laminated and will be long lead time items. Several critical properties such as strength, flex life, permeability, laminate adhesion, thermal radiation properties, etc. must be closely controlled.

The hull surface with its requirement for smoothness to retain laminar flow will require unusually close tolerance patterns, cutting and joining of panels. Seams will be butt joints with the bi-modules structural tape inside and then film facing tape outside. Sealing method will be thermal, either by RF or by heat.

The subassemblies would be moved to a hangar for final assembly. Hardware components such as valves and nose mooring would be installed and the ship air inflated for inspection and watering with the tail assembly. With the ship pressurized, final installations of propulsion system, blowers, support structures, avionics and payload would be accomplished.

The textile parts of the hull would be manufactured as three major subassemblies, top, bottom and tail. Each of the subassemblies would include all the textile components such as ballonets, catenaries, reinforcements, etc. Top and bottom would be mated at the manufacturers' plant.

# 5.1.2 Propulsion System

[ ]

The propulsion system consisting of the fuel supply, engine, gear box, drive shaft, propeller hub, and propeller would be manufactured at sub-contractors plants and subjected to environmental and operational reliability tests. Since the propulsion system would have many new features as compared to current aircraft hardware, extensive testing of the components and the system would be desirable. As a minimum the engine gear box and propeller hub should be environmentally tested for a thousand hours of operation, and the propeller should be subjected to a thousand hours of spin testing simulating flight conditions.

### 5.1.3 Control System

: :

The control system will consist largely of proven components so that although system testing will certainly be in order, extensive reliability testing will probably not be needed. The control system, including the information and guidance systems would be manufactured at an electronic manufacturers plant with established manufacturing and quality control procedures.

### 5.1.4 Ground Handling

Equipment manufacture would require a specialized design and manufacturing team. The ground handling system requirements will be specified by the airship system designer and then assigned to a civil engineering firm for translation into a ground handling system. Standard construction practices would suffice for all portions except the airship mounting dolly, which because of lightweight requirement would best be constructed following aeronautical engineering practices.

### 5.2 SYSTEM INTEGRATION

The system integration is similar to that illustrated schematically in Phase I Report, Figure 10-1. The airship hull would be taken to the assembly facility such as the Lakehurst hangar. The airship hull would be spread out on the hangar floor and partially inflated with air at which time the nose mooring hardware, the valves, drain tubes, utility compartment components, would be installed in the ship. The ship could then be fully inflated and pressurized with air. Meanwhile the empennage would be assembled consisting of the tail section and the fins. With the engine and propeller shaft all installed,

this assembly would be inflated with a temporary air barrier added at the forward end. The fins would be attached but not inflated at this stage of assembly. The inflated tail section would be supported in an external rigid support jig and would be raised into position and mated with the pressurized main airship hull. After the mating has been accomplished, the temporary tail gas barrier would be removed and the pressurized hull system would structurally support the inflated tail section. If space permits in the hangar, the tail fins would be inflated and pressure tested at this point. The airborn guidance and information system would be installed and detailed system check-out in conjunction with the ground control station accomplished. With the airship mounted on it's dolly and secured to the docking rails, all ground handling system vehicles and hardware would be checked for compatibility and function.

# 5.2.1 System Inspection and Test

2

ł

.

 $\{ i, j \}$ 

Following component installation and test, the entire system would be tested following the check-list developed during the design phase.
# 6.0 FLIGHT PROCEDURES

.

е. 17 с 14 с

> 55.0 1 - 1 -

Except as noted below, all flight procedures parallel those for the full scale HAPP as presented in the Phase I report Section 10.0

6.1 DEVIATIONS FROM FULL SCALE OPERATIONS/PROCEDURES Rectenna power will not be available for propulsion. Limit pressure for the demonstrator hull is 12.3 cm H<sub>2</sub>0.

> Flight control will be more dependent on pilot manual control, automation will be at a lower level.

### 7.0 SAFETY AND FLIGHT REGULATIONS

1.

The safety and flight regulation aspects for the system have been addressed in the Phase I report Section 10.8. These factors are entirely paralleled by the demonstrator and the only significant difference which can be foreseen at this time is that FAA clearances at a 15 kilometer altitude may be more restrictive than at the higher HAPP altitude of 20 kilometers. However, since the period of operation for the demonstrator is only a few hours, no serious problem in arranging the clearance is foreseen.

## 8.0 COST ESTIMATE

л. , . с

114

The cost estimate is based on 1983 dollars and on the schedule shown in Figure 8-1. Detailed costs are given in Table 8-1, and are summarized below.

	Cost
	(K Dollars)
Program Management	375
Design	1839
Fabrication	2473
Inflation/Checkout	60
Flight Tests	220
Final Report	27
TOTAL	4994

These costs might be reduced if some components were GFE. Some of the more obvious candidates which might come from other government programs are:

Battery or Fuel Cell

Avionics

Sensors

Telemetry - command

# TABLE 8-1

# DEMONSTRATOR COST ESTIMATE

# THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS

1.0	Prog.	Mgmt.	375
2 0	Desia	'n	
2.0	2.1	Material	73
	2.2	Mfg. Tech.	57
	2.3	1/0's	71
	2.5	Fin	33
	2.6	Ballonet	32
	2.7	Propulsion	427
	2.8	Avionics Misc. Hdwo: Noco	197
	2.10	Pressure Control	134
	2.11	Propeller/Hub/Gimbal	428
	2.12	Flight Procedure	82
	2.13	Ground Handling Equip., Mooring	53
	2.14	System	<u>77</u>
		2.0 TOTALS	1839
2 0	Faburi		
3.0	3.1	Softgoods, Valves,	
		Plenum, Nose	1129
	3.2	Ground System (Mooring)	514
	3.3 3 A	Propulsion Avionics	126
	3.5	Misc. Hdwe.	25
	3.6	Pressure Control	75
	3.7	Propeller Hub	125
	3.8	System	103
		3.0 TOTALS	2473

4.0 Inflation/Checkout

90 - 197 T

en en traj

No. But in

. .

N.

1 715 - 1 1 -- 1 1 -

60

Cost

# TABLE 8-1

÷

•

.

1

ана. - - -- - - -

# DEMONSTRATOR COST ESTIMATE

## THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS

# (cont'd)

 5.0
 Flight Tests
 61

 5.1
 1st Total
 61

 5.2
 2nd Total
 113

 5.2.1
 1st Flight
 113

 5.2.2
 2nd Flight
 46

 5.0
 TOTALS
 220

 6.0
 Reports Final
 27

PROGRAM TOTALS

	su	JB	JE	ст	:	D "	EM BA	O N R E	IST E	[ R / 3 0	AT NE	I 0 S "	N	V E	EH	IC	LE								
MILESTONES	MC	N N	<u>тн</u> 2	<u>s</u>	E ( 2				<u>.</u>		1 1	- - -			h c		70 0		120	<u></u>		2.2		<u></u>	
I. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT		2	-	4 .	<u></u>			, ,	+		41.							<u>** &gt;</u>	120					<u> </u>	2 ギ オ
II. DETAILED DESIGN DEM. VEHICLE									Ţ	1														Ţ	]
MATERIALS PREPRODUCTION RUN																	:								
MFG. TECHNIQUES QUALIFICATION				4								.		-		ĺ	: : !								
ENVELOPE DESIGN	•				->												1								
FIN STUDY				4			1	-																	
BALLONET DESIGN	4			+	-				T								I				Τ				
PROPULSION DESIGN			_																				Τ		
AVIONICS DESIGN		_				1																T	Τ	T	Ī
MISC. HWD., NOSE MOORING SKID	6			4					T																
PRESSURE CONTROL SYSTEM		+				1			T																
FLIGHT PROCED. & GROUND HAND.					1					1											1	T			
DETAILED COST ESTIMATE				╈							1								-		1		T	1	T
III. FABRICATION									1		-									1	Ť	1	1	1	t
SOFT GOODS							T																T		Ī
PROPULSION				ŀ		l															T				Ī
AVIONICS								1	Ī							,					Ť	T	T		Ī
SYSTEM INTEGRATION										1						_				+	+	+	+		ĺ
GROUND SYSTEM										1											Ť		1		
IV. INFLATION/CHECKOUT						T	1								1				-	1	-	-	1		
V. FIRST FLIGHT TEST																				••	T		1		
VI. SECOND FLIGHT TEST ACTIVITY																	1		1	-	╉	+			
INSTRUMENTATION RETROFIT			;																		Ļ			$\square$	
FLIGHT TEST																				Ť	T	T			
VII. PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT/RECOMM.																	1	1	1	1	Ť	1			-
													1	╡	1				T		T	T			
. <u>.</u>					<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	1	<u>۔ ۔ ۔</u> ۔	<del>•</del>	<b>⊾</b> ∔		<u></u>	-i		-					-	_•	<u>.                                    </u>	ł	~
		F	IG	UR	E 8	-1			-																

### 9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1

÷,

The conclusion of this report is that a HAPP demonstration vehicle with a volume of 10,500 cubic meters operating with a design altitude of 15 kilometers does fulfill the objectives for a "proof of concept" model. Technical simulation is achieved for the physical and aerodynamic configurations of the full-scale ship. The operations of this one-half full-scale model provides a valuable operational simulation and confidence for the full-scale model. The same conclusion is true for the manufacturing of the model which will demonstrate and de-bug the techniques for the new manufacturing technology of Kevlar fabric and of close tolerance surface smoothness.

The estimated cost for the Demonstrator program including design, manufacture, and flight tests is \$4,994,000. over a time span of 26 months.

### 9.1 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a proof of concept model as defined in this report is an important step in the development of the full-scale HAPP vehicle and the program should be pursued.

# APPENDICIES FOR HAPP

# PHASE II REPORT

.,

(and a

-

APPENDIX	SUBJECT
А	COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR PARAMETRIC STUDY
В	PROPULSION ENGINE SURVEY AND THUNDER ENGINE PROPOSAL
C	TM DEVELOPMENT CO. LIGHTWEIGHT PROPELLERS
D	MOTOROLA COMMAND AND TELEMENTRY CONCEPT

APPENDIX A

.

•

. .

ر جي لا

13. 12.

### "HAPP PROOF-OF-CONCEPT MODEL PARAMETRIC PROGRAM"

?i

10.

2

- ,

1.0

<u>؛</u>،

4.5

JLIST <u>80</u> 20 B0 HDME B0 HDME B0 DIM NV(24),R(24),VE(24),U(24),UA(24),TE(24),P(24),UU(24),UU(24),UU(24), X(24),B(13),WE(13) 100 PI = 3.14159:C2 = .514444:C3 = 98.0638: REM SEE SYMBOLS 110 REM DENSITIES @ 1000 M INDREM 120 R(0) = 1.2250:R(1) = 1.117:R(2) = 1.0066 130 R(3) = .90925:R(4) = .81935:R(5) = .73643 140 R(6) = .66011:R(7) = .59002:R(8) = .52579 150 R(9) = .46706:R(10) = .41351:R(11) = .36480 160 R(12) = .31194:R(13) = .26660:R(14) = .22786 170 R(15) = .19475:R(16) = .16647:R(17) = .1423 180 R(18) = .12165:R(19) = .10400 190 R(20) = 8.8910E - 2:R(23) = .5.6790E - 2:R(24) = 3.5531E - 2 200 R(22) = 3.8083E - 2:R(23) = .5.6790E - 2:R(24) = 3.5531E - 2 200 R(22) = 3.8083E - 2:R(23) = .5.6790E - 2:R(24) = .5531E - 2 210 FOR I = 12 TO 20:TE(1) = 216.655: NEXT :TE(21) = .217.58:TE(22) = .218.57:TE(23) = .219.57:TE(24) = .220.56 220 P(12) = 19399.4:P(13) = .16579.6:P(14) = .14170.4:P(15) = .12111.3:P (16) = 10352.8:P(17) = .8849.7:P(18) = .7565.2:P(19) = .6467.5:P(20) = .2971.7 230 VTAE 10 540 VTAE 10 ទេ 2971.7 230 VTAB 10 240 HTAB 10 250 DA\$ = "280CTB2" 260 LIST 250: PRINT : PRINT : PRINT "TO CHANGE CURRENT DATE \*RESET\*\* CHANGE, RUN" 270 FOR I = 1 TO 50:X = I f 2: NEXT :X = C 280 GDTO 350 290 HDME : VTAB 4: HTAB 4: PRINT "IF YOU ARE MAKING CHANGES FROM THE "THE & WOULD LINE TO HAVE THEM" THE DE GO CHAR" HTAB 4: FRINT "BASELINE & WOULD LINE TO HAVE THEM" HTAB 4: FRINT "NOTED YOU HAVE TWO LINES OF 50 CHAR HTAB 4: FRINT "EACH TO MAKE YOUR COMMENTS": HTAB 4  $\frac{310}{320}$ HTAB 4: FRINT "TYPE HIAE 4: PRINT "EACH TO MAKE YOOR COMMENTS": HIAE RTN FOR NO COMMENT #1": INPUT " "(CO\$(1): IF 60 THEN PRINT "COMMENT TOO LONG": GOTO 330 PRINT : PRINT "COMMENT #2": INPUT " "(CO\$(2): IF 60 THEN PRINT "COMMENT #2": INPUT " "(CO\$(2): IF 60 THEN PRINT "COMMENT TOO LONG": GOTO 340 HOME : VTAE 5: HTAE 10 INVERSE : PRINT "CONFIGURATION OPTION": NORMAL PRINT " DOLPHIN ; SOFT FINS": PRINT 330 LEN (CO\$(1)) > LEN (CO\$(2)) >340 350 SPEED 530 RA = 287.053: REM R-AIR J/KG KELVIN 540 RH = 2077.23: REM R-HELIUM 550 CP = 1.2:CP(1) = 1: REM DYNAMIC LIFT.COEFF.

SH = 16.7: REM SUPER HEAT NELVIN SC = -17.21 REM SUPER CDGL NELVIN VD = 10050:V2 = V0 ( (2 / 3) CA = 85: REM CLIMB ANGLE DEG NC = .028: REM CLIMB ANGLE DEG NC = .028: REM CLIMB INESCENT CD CD = .018: IF FIG4 = '1' THEN CD = .016 RD = 150: REM RATE ISCENT N/MIN RC = 150: REM RATE ASCENT N/MIN IF SK\$ = "SNIP" THEN 660 ALT = 15000 VT = ALT / 1000 PUR = .95: REM PURITY LH(1) = .197: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .022: REM KG/KWHR TANK.011 & SUPPORT WT .011 LH(2) = .002 REM FALLOS PWR KW N(4) = 56:B(4) = N1(4) \* WC(4): REM PRI ENGINE N(4) = 56:B(4) = N1(4) \* WC(4): REM PRI ENGINE N(4) = .00: REM PAYLOAD WT KGS B(3) = .00: REM PAYLOAD WT KGS B(3) = .00: REM PALLAST IN NOSE FOR BALANCE HOME : VTAE 12: PRINT "ALTITUDE = "ALT" m" GOTO 860 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE ? (Y/N) N "}AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 840 GOTO 860 INPUT "NEW ALTITUDE ";ALT T = ALT / 1000 P = F(2T):TE = TE(ZT) HOME : VTAE 12: FRINT "SHAFT LENGTH =SHIF LENGTH LF\*(95-.80)-.1F TOU WANT TO CHANGE, KEY Y AND LIET 2552": GOTO 900: INPUT "(7/ N)N";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 890 GOTO 800 INPUT PAR DET DET END 890 GOTO 800 INPUT DO YOU WANT FO CHANGE TO SOULTS AND LIET 2552": GOTO 900: INPUT (7/ N)N";AS\$ 560 570 580 590 500 a10 a20 a30 540 650 000 670 680 690 700 710 780 790 800 810 820 830 840 350 830 870 IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 900 880 890 GOTO 890 DRIVE SHAFT WT COEFFFOR RADIUS 0.25M, AL 6061 WC(2) = .0119: REM 900 Tó HOME : VTAB 12: PRINT "DRIVE SHAFT WT CDEFF. = ";WC(2) GOTO 960 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE? N ";AS\$: IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 910 920 930 8070 9 50 940 250 GOTO 960 INPUT " NEW DRIVE SHAFT WT COEFF = PC(2) = 2.5:PD(2) = PC(2) \* C3: REM "#WD(2) PC DMH20#PD PASCALS\* NITE PR 960 ESS DIFF FS = 5; REM 970 970 FS = 5: REM SAFTEY FACTOR 980 MHW = 0.11867: REM MIN HULLFAB WT(3.5 0Z/YD2) 990 FFW = .11867: REM FIN FABRIC WT KG/Mt2 1000 RFW = .07: REM RIB FABRIC WT KG.Mt2 1010 BFW = .085: REM BALLONET FABRIC WT KG/Mt2 1020 T(4) = .05: REM PROF SHIP VOL FOR TRIM BALLONET 1030 BV(1) = 0: REM BALLONET VOL 1040 SHIP\$ = "DOLPHIP HARD FINS": IF FIG\$ = "2" THEN S SOFT FINS" 1050 HOME : VTAB 12 1040 PEINT "CLIME ANGLE = "CA" DEE PWE OFF ASCENT" SAFTEY FACTOR IF FIG\$ = "2" THEN SHIP\$ = "DOLPHIN 1050 1060 1070 1080 1090 HOME : VTAR 12 FRINT "CLIME ANGLE = "CA" DEG FWR OFF ASCENT" FRINT "CLIMB ANGLE = "CH" DEG FWN WY FAS\$ GOTO 1130 INFUT "DD YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1120 FRINT "F1=ASCENT" TAB( 20)"F2=CRUISE MAX" TAB( 40)"F3=CRUISE FA RTIAL" GOTO 1130 INFUT "NEW CLINB ANGLE = ";CA HOME : VTAB 12 FRINT "CLIMB CD = "DC GOTO 1200 INFUT "DD YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1190 GOTO 1200 1100  $\begin{array}{r}
 1110 \\
 1120 \\
 1130 \\
 1130
 \end{array}$  $1140 \\ 1150$ INPUT "NO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1190 GOTO 1200 INPUT "NEW CLIME CD = ";DC HOME : VTAE 12 FRINT "FURITY = "PUR GOTO 1270 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1260 GOTO 1270 GOTO 1270 INFUT "FURITY ="#FUR HOME : VTAB 12 RE = (RA \* RH) / (PUR \* (RA - RH) + RH): REM EFFECTIVE GAS CONS 1280

A-2

. .

·. -,

42

21%

TANT PRINT "DRAG COEFF(SHIP)="CD PRINT "DRAG COEFF(SHIP)="CD GDT0 1350 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N "#AS# IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GDT0 1340 GOT0 1350 INPUT "NEW COEFF ="#CD HOME : VTAB 12 GOT0 1420 PRINT "MINIMUM AVE HULL FAB WT="MHW" KG/M2) INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE;(Y/N)N";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1410 GOT0 1420 IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1410 GOTO 1420 INPUT "NEW MIN AVE HULL FAB WT=";MHW PRINT "FIN SKIN FAB WT="FFW" KG/Mt2" GOTO 1490 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1470 1400 1410 1420 14301450 GOTO 1490 INFUT "NEW FIN SKIN WT=";FFW HOME : VTAB 12 PRINT "RIB FAB WT=";RFW" KG/M†2" 1470 1480 HOME : UTAB 12 PRINT "RIE FAB WT=";RFW" KG/M†2" GOTO 1780 INFUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1540 GOTO 1560 INFUT "NEW RIE FAB WT=";RFW HOME : UTAE 12 PRINT "EALINT FAB WT=";RFW HOME : UTAE 12 PRINT "BALINT FAB WT=";RFW HOME : UTAE 12 PRINT "BALINT FAB WT=";RFW FAS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1600 GOTO 1610 INFUT "NEW BALNT FAB WT=";RFW PRINT "BALLONET YOL AT CRUISE ALT = "BV(1) INFUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1650 GOTO 1660 INFUT "BALLONET YOL = "BV(1) PRINT "PROP OF SHIP VOL FOR TRIM BALLONET = "T(4) INFUT "IO YOU WANT TO CHANGE? ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN 1700 GOTO 1710 INFUT "NEW PROP OF SHIP FOR TRIM BALLONET = ";T(4) HOME : VTAE 2 HTAE 15; INVERSE : PRINT "WIND OPTIONS": NORMAL PRINT : PRINT : PRINT : THRESHOLD VELOCITY = "UK(1)" KTS": INFUT WILL THIS CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1760 GOTO 1770 INFUT "NEW THRESHOLD VELOCITY, KTS";UK(1):UM(1) = UK(1) \* C? 151015201530015540015550 $1560 \\ 1570$ 1570 1620163016401650 $1650 \\ 1670$ 1<u>69</u>0 1710 1720 IF Ass = "Y" THEN GOTD 1760 GOTD 1770 INPUT "NEW THRESHOLD VELOCITY, KTS";UK(1):UM(1) = UK(1) \* C2 FRINT : FRINT HOME : UTAB 12 UTAB 14: FRINT "CALCULATING WIND VALVES" REM ASCENT & DESCENT WINDS, WASH DC SUMMER, M/S FOR I = 0 TD 24:ZLT = I \* 1000: REM U(I) IS IN M/S IF ZLT > 0 AND ZLT < = 12000 THEN U(I) = (15 + 0.00472 \* (ZLT -0)) \* C2 IF ZLT > 12000 AND ZLT < = 18500 THEN U(I) = (71.6 - 0.00932 \* (ZLT - 12000)) \* C2 IF ZLT > 18500 THEN U(I) = (11.0 + 0.00209 \* (ZLT - 18500)) \* C 2 1750 1770 1770 1780 1790 1890 1820 NEXT NEXT FOR I = 0 TO 24:UU(I) = U(I): NEXT : REM SETS DESCENT WINDS = ASCENT, M/S HOME : VTAB 12: PRINT "SUPER HEAT & COOL TEMP = "SH" & "SC" K" GOTD 1990 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE Y/N N";AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1920 GOTO 1930 INPUT "NEW HEAT = ";SH: INPUT "NEW COOL = ";SC HOME : VTAB 12 PRINT "NITE FRESS DIFF = "PC(2)" CM H20": PRINT "SAFTEY FACTOR = "FS TNPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ 1890 1920 1930 1960 1970 INFUT "DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$ IF\_AS\$\_=\_"Y" THEN GOTO 1980 1950 INPUT "DU TUU WHAL GOTO 1980 1960 IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 1980 1970 GOTO 1990 1980 INPUT "NEW NITE PRESS DIFF(CM H20)= ";PC(2):PD(2) = PC(2) \* C3: INPUT "NEW SAFTEY FACTOR = ";FS 1990 PD(4) = (P + PD(2)) \* (TE + SH) / (TE + SC) - P:PC(4) = PD(4) / C3: REM PASCALS PRESS DIF DAY

# . . 12.

1.

· • • • •

÷ .

PRINT "DAY PRESS DIFF FOR DAYNITE EQUIL, OM E20="PC14) PD = 622.570778:PD\$ = "FABPRESS": PRINT "DAY PRESS WILL ADJUST T D PRESSURE FOR MIN FAR WT": PRINT : GDTD 2050: INPUT "DO YOU WAN T TO CHANGE(Y/N)N":AS\$ IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN PD\$ = "O": GDTD 2040 GDTD 2050 INPUT "NEW DAY PRESS DIFF, CMH20=":PC(3):PD = PC(3) \* C3 HOME : UTAR 12 2000 2010  $I_{P} ASS = "Y" IHEN PD$ = "0"; GUIU 2040$ GOTO 2050 INPUT "NEW DAY FRESS LIFF, CMH20="\*PC(3);PD = PC(3) \* C3
HOME : VTAB 12
GOTO 2:340
PRINT "P/L WT="R(\$)" KGS"
INPUT "DO UWANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:10
INPUT "P/L WT (KGS) = ";E(\$)
HOME : VTAB 12
PRINT "AVIONICS WT="E(\$)" KGS"
INPUT "ID YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:20
GOTO 2:80
INPUT "NEW WT=";E(\$)
HOME : VTAB 12
PRINT "P/L PWR=K(\$)" KW"
INPUT "ID YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:230
GOTO 2:40
INPUT "FUK F/L (KW) = ";KC(\$)
HOME : VTAB 12
PRINT "AVIONICS PWR="K(\$)" KW"
INPUT "ID YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:300
INPUT "FWR F/L (KW) = ";KC(\$)
HOME : VTAB 12
PRINT "AVIONICS PWR="K(\$)" KW"
INPUT "IO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:670
GOTO 2:300
INPUT "NEW PWR?";KC(\$)
HOME : VTAB 12
PRINT "AVIONICS PWR="K(\$)" KW"
INPUT "IO YOU WANT TO CHANGE (Y/N) N ";AS\$
IF AS\$ = "Y" THEN GOTO 2:520
UM(5) = (K1(1) \* 1000 / (CD \* .5 \* R(ZT) \* V2)) † (1 / 3);UK(5) =
UM(5) = (K1(1) \* 1000 / (CD \* .5 \* R(ZT) \* V2)) † (1 / 3);UK(5) =
UM(5) = (I + 1 TO 5);PRINT I" UK("I")="UK(I); NEXT : FOR I = 1 TO 3
O:X = I † 2: NEXT : X = 0
REM REITERATION STARTS HERE
FOR I = 1 TO 5: PRINT I" UK("I")="UK(I); NEXT : FOR I = 1 TO 3-.5 ★ R(ZT) ★ V2)) ↑ (1 / 3)\$UK(5) = 2350 2360 2370 2380 2390 REM \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 2400 2410 2420 2430 2440 2440 24450 I = 0 RT = 0:TR = 0:DSENT\$ = " " RT = R(ZT): REM DEN AT OPR ALT V2 = VD † (2 / 3) VR = 0.44291 \* VD † (1 / 3): REM RADIUS M DERIVED FR 1.5MCF=50. ŻÊT LE LF = (VR \* 6.863) \* .95: REM LENGTH,TRUNCATED 5% FOR BALANCE PRINT "VD="VD SA = 5.9388 \* V2: REM SFC AREA M2 DERIVED FR 1.5MCF=77820FT2 SL = 10: REM SHAFT LENGTH B(11) = 47: REM SUPPORT RINGS FOR ENGINE AND PROPELLER, NO HARD CONF 2460 2470 2480 2490 2500 CONE ESF = 2.0487: REM EFF STRESS FACTOR HFW = MHW \* SA: REM HULL WT MIN FAB IF FD\$ = "FARFRESS" THEN FD = (MHW \* SA - (.057642 \* SA)) / (1. 3404E - 06 \* FS \* VO \* ESF) FD(4) = (F + FD(2)) \* (TE + SH) / (TE + SC) - F:FC(4) = FD(4) / C3: REM FASCALS FRESS DIF DAY IF FD\$ = "FABPRESS" AND FD(4) < FD THEN FD = FD(4) IF FD\$ = "FABPRESS" AND FD(4) < FD THEN FD = FD(4) IF FD\$ < > "FABPRESS" THEN HFW = 1.3404E - 6 \* FD \* FS \* VO \* ESF + (.057642 \* SA): REM HULL WT UHW = HFW / SA:UFW = UHW: IF UFW < MHW THEN UFW = MHW:HFW = SA \* UFW CONE ESF =  $2510 \\ 2520 \\ 2530 \\ 2530 \\$ 2540 2550 2560 2570 ŨFW  $\vec{K}T\vec{W}$  = HFW \* .08: REM TAPE WT KG;4% EACH SIDE M(1) = (P \* VO) / (RA \* TE): REM MASS DISPL AIR M(2) = ((P + PD) \* (VO - BV(1))) / (RE \* (TE + SH)): REM 2580 2590 MASS H 2600 2610 M(3) = ((P + PD) \* (BV(1))) / (RA \* (TE + SH)); REM -DAY MASS AI R IN BALNT LD(1) = M(1) - M(2) - M(3); REM DAY STATIC LIFT NV = (M(2) \* RE \* (TE + SC)) / (P + PD(2)); REM NIGHT VOL M(5) = ((P + PD(2)) \* (VD - NV)) / (RA \* (TE + SC)); REM  $2620 \\ 2630 \\$ NIGHT VOL HE NITE R 2640 ALNT AIR MASS M(2) - M(5): REM NIGHT STATIC LIFT 2650 LIK 2660 BV LI(2) = M(1)\_ NITE BALLONET VOLUME VOL - NV: REM

-14 -10

1.

. .

-

• .:

۰. **۲** 

.

2676 LD(3) = LD(1): REM MAX LAY LIFT FOF DYNAMIC LIFT CDEF 2686 LD = (LD(1) - LD(2)): REM DYNAMIC LIFT DURING MIGHT DNLY 2690 IF LD = 0 THEN LD = 9.807) / (R0 # V2 # UM(1) f 2): REM BYNAMIC C 2700 T(1) = (CT(1) # (T(1) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM CDFINDUCED DRA 2720 h4(1) = (CT(1) # .5 # R(ZT) \* UM(1) f 3 # V2) / (1000): REM PROP NM AT THREENGLD. PRIME PW 2730 h4(4) = (L4(1)) / (E(1) # E(3)): REM THRESHOLD ENG PWR 2740 b(4) = K1(4) \* WC(12)! REM WATER REG WT 2750 b(4) = K1(4) \* WC(12)! REM WATER REG WT 2750 b(12) = (CF(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2770 CD(5) = CD + (CP(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2770 CD(5) = CD + (CP(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2770 b(12) = (CF(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2780 b(1) = K1(4) \* WC(12)! REM PROFELLEX 2780 b(1) = K1(4) # WC(12)! REM WATER REC 2790 b(1) = CD + (CP(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2790 b(1) = CD + (CP(1) # (TL(5) / CP) f 2) / 2: REM DYNAMIC C 2790 b(1) = K1(4) \* WC(12)! REM PROFELLEX 2800 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE REPORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2800 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE REPORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2810 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE REPORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2830 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE REPORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2830 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE KEFORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2830 REM FAB UNIT WT RERIVED FROM NADE KEFORT WHERE 1.8 DZ/YD12 KEU 2840 WF = 1008: REM SEGMY CO J FAECON TACONT AN ERA M2 2850 KI(1) = K1(1) \* WC(3). KEM TOTAL FIN WT KGS 2850 KI(1) = KEY SEGWY CO J FAECONT AND KGS 2850 KI(1) = KEY SEGWY CO J FAECONT AND KGS 2850 KI(1) = KEY SEGWY CO J FAECONT AND KGS 2850 KI(1) = KEY SEGWY CO J FAECONT ANAEA M2 2950 KI(2) = NT KEM SEGWY CO J FAECONT ANAEA M2 2950 KI(2) = NT KEW SEGWY CO J FIN AREA M2 2950 KI(2) = NT KEW SEGWY CO J FIN AREA, LAUNCH T 2950 FF SEGWY SOFT A DEFENSIVE FACTORAL I.027 KEMTZ. ADDUGS 2950 KI(2) = STACL STACLE REFERENCENT ANAEA 2950 KI(2) = NT KEM SEGWY CO J FIN AREA, LAUNCH T 2950 FF SEGWY SOFT A DEFENSIVE SEGWY AND TO METRIC. FIN ARE 3000 3010 3020 3030 5040 3050 3020 3070 3080 3090 3100 3110 3120 3130 3140 3150 3160 3170 3180 \*\*\*\*\* REM REM ASCENT PROFILE

.

1.19

<u>ः</u>

15

1.2

Ĩ.,

ч.

÷.

. - .

100

77.**.** 

. .

1 12

- 11 · · ·

• . .

3290 3300 3270 RED ################ 3300 ST = 0'ST(1) = 0 3310 CR = CA / FI 3320 SR = SIN (CR):CC = CDS (CR) 3330 FDR I = 0 TD (ZT) 3340 VX(I) = (K4(1) \* 1000 / (.5 \* R(I) \* DC \* V2)) † (1 / 3): REM VE L DN THRESH FWR ALT I,M/S 3350 3360 GOTO 3370 FOR 3380 FW = AIRSPEED AT ALT I 3390 <u>3</u>460 3410 3420 3430 BLOWOFF TKIN! TX = ALT / RC / 60: REM HOURS CLIMBING SX = ST(1) \* 5.396E - 4 HH = TX \* EH: REM NWHR CLIMB REM CONT FR 3360(GOTO 3470) FOR I = 0 TO ZT: REM FOWER OFF ASCENT DT = (1000 / RC) \* 60: REM SEC 1000M S = U(I) \* DT:ST(1) = ST(1) + S: REM BL NEYT 3440 3450 3460 3470 3480 490 3500 3510 3518 SI REM BLOWOFF M NEXT UJ(1) = UM(1):KK(1) = K4(4): IF UJ(1) = UM(5) THEN KK(1) = K1(4) : REM FOR AUXBACK TZ AND HS, UM(1)THRESHOLD, UM(5)LIMIT<math>TZ = ABS (ST(1)) / ((UJ(1) - U(ZT)) \* 3600): REM HRS TO AUXBAC3520 3530 3540 3550 3550 3570 ĤS = TZ \* KK(1): REM KWHR AUXBACK TX = ALT / RC / 60: REM TIME TO ALT IF AS\$ < > "CV" THEN 3620 PRINT TAB( 20)"\* \* \* \* ASCENT PROFILE \* \* \* \* \*" PRINT "POWER OFF ASCENT AT "RC" M/MIN; WINDS WASHDO SUMMER 34%" PRINT "TIME TO CLIMB TO "ZT" KM="TX PRINT "BLOWDFF DISTANCE ="ST(1) / 1000" KM." PRINT "TIME TO AUXBACK TO STATION ="TZ"HRS, AT THRESHOLD SPD" PRINT "FUEL USED ASCENT AND AUXBACK ="HS \* LH(1)" KG 3580 3590 3600 3610 3620 3630 REM ON STATION PROFILE 3640 3650 REM \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* REM TON STATION WINDS AND AUX PWR CALC IN LINES 3110 TO 3190 BD = O: REM KWHR ON STATION RK = K1(4) \* 8: REM KWHR, 4HR RESERVE, 4HR MANEUVER, AT LIMIT F 3660 3670 WR JR IF AS\$ < > "CV" THEN 3730 PRINT TAB( 20)"\* \* \* \* ON-STATION PROFILE \* \* \* \* \*" PRINT "STATION WIND ="U(ZT) / C2" KTS" PRINT "SHIP SPEED ON THRESHOLD POWER="UK(1)" KNOTS:LIMITING VEL = "UK(5)"KTS" PRINT "FOR 4HRS RESERVE + 4HRS MANEUVERING @ LIMIT " INT (UK(5)) \* 10 + .5) / 10" KTS STATION FUEL WT = "RK \* LH(1): PR# 0 REM \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* REM JSCENT PROFILE FEM \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 3680 3690 3700 3710 3720 37400 37750 337760 33777890 33777890 3800 3810 3820 3830 384ŏ 3848  $\frac{3850}{3852}$ 3860 3870 3878 3880 3890 3900 3910 WHR, ASCENT, AUXBACK, AUXAWAY, DESCENT, BLOWER, LANDING RESERVE, ONSTAT

÷.

z

12

- <sup>1</sup>.,

100

÷

1.

ION 3920 FUL = HT \* LH(1): REM MISSION FUEL NG 5930 MTA = HT \* LH(2): REM TANK & STRUCTURE NG 3940 EI = EJ + MTA 3950 DSF = (HD + DB + HL + HJ) \* LH(1): REM FUEL FOR DESCENT OPS INC. L BHR LANDING 3860 IF AS\$ < > "CV" THEN 4100 3960 3970 3980 3990 4000 4010 4020 <u>KM</u>" OO" KM" FRINT "FUEL FOR AUXAWAY = "HJ \* LH(1)" KGS" PRINT "FUEL FOR BLOWER = "DB \* LH(1)" KGS" PRINT "FUEL FOR LANDING 4HR AT THRESHOLD PWR(SL 29.8KT)="HL \* L H(1)" KGS" PRINT "FUEL USED FOR DESCENT OFS INCL 8HR LANDING = "DSF" KG PRINT TAB( 20)"\* \* \* \* \* SUMMARY \* \* \* \* \* PRINT TAB( 20)"\* \* \* \* \* SUMMARY \* \* \* \* \* PRINT "TOTAL FUEL WT FOR MISSION = "FUL PR# 0: IF AX = 2 THEN RETURN 4030 4040 4050 4060 4070 4080 4090 4100 REM WG<sup>11</sup> GURY + FUL + MTA + R(13): REM GROSS WT NG IW = LI(3) - WG: REM FREELIFT AEROSTATIC FQ\$ = "2": REM FR#1; TO ACTIVATE CHANGE THIS LINE TO PR#1 ONLY 4110 4120 4130 F'Q\$ = PRINT TAB( 4)"VOLUME,M†3, VO="VO PRINT "GROSS WT,KG, WG=" TAB( 22)WG: PRINT "STATIC LIFT, LD(3)= ' TAB( 22)LD(3): PRINT "FREELIFT, DW=" TAB( 22)DW: PRINT : PRINT 4140 4150 IF PQ\$ = "2" THEN 4200 INPUT "DO YOU WANT DETAILS PRINTED?(Y/N)N"; PG\$IF PO\$ = "Y" THEN PQ\$ = "1"; GOSUB 4290 PQ\$ = "2"PRINT : PR\$ 0IF ABS (DW) > 1 THEN GOTD 4930 FOR I = 1 TO 5; IF TL(I) > = .315 THEN PRINT "STOP:TL("I") IS TOO LARGE, = "TL(I)"; SPEED UP OR REDUCE LOAD"; STOP NEXT I GOTD 4270 IF AX = 1 THEN RETURN 4160 4170 4180 4190 4200 4210 4220 4220 4250 4240 4250 4260 4270 IF AX = 1 THEN RETURN FEM INFUT"DO YOU WANT TO SEE THE ASCENT AND DISCENT PROFILE 7 ( 4280 4290 4300 4310 4320 4330 PRINT " VOL" SPC( 3)"ALT" SPC( 3)"THRSH" SPC( 4)"LIMIT" SPC( 3)"PROP" SPC( 3)"WEVN" SPC( 4)"PSWT" SPC( 4)"FUEL" SPC( 4)" PLI " SPC( 5)"BLST" PRINT " M13" SPC( 3)" KM" SPC( 4)"KW" SPC( 6)" KW " SPC( 4)" K W " SPC( 3)" KG " SPC( 4)" KG " SPC( 4)" KG " SPC( 4)" K 6)" KG " SPC( 4)" SPC( 4)" KG " SPC 4340 4350 )" KG " FRINT "-----4360 INT ((A / GDRY + .0055) \* 100):A1\$ = STR\$ (A1) INT ((EI / GDRY + .005) \* 100):B1\$ = STR\$ (B1) INT (((FE \* (LH(1) / LH)) / GDRY + .0055 \* 100 4370 A1 = 4380 B1 = \* 100):C1\$ = STR\$ 4390 C1 = (Ĉ1) INT (A + .5)) + .0001 INT ((E \* 100) + .5) / 100) + .0001 INT (FE + .5) + .0001) INT (FE + .5) + .0001 STR\$ (C) INT (((FD - FD(2)) \* 100) + .5) / 100) + .0001 4400 A = (4410 E = ( 4420 C = ( 4430 EI = ( 4440 H\$ = 4450 IF = (STR\$ (VOL) STR\$ (ZT) STR\$ (K4(4)) 4460 A\$ = 4470 H\$ = 4480 C\$ = STR\$ STR\$ STR\$ (Ki(455 4490 DE = 4500 4510 (K1(1)) E\$ = STR\$ (A) STR\$ (EI) STR\$ (C) F\$ = 4520 4530 G\$ = H\$ = STR\$ (B(9)) 4540 I\$ =

. ÷

. .

!

.....

•

÷.,

• :

1.1

[] -

2.5

J\$ = STR\$ (B(13)) PRINT SPC(1) LEFT\* (A\$,6) SPC(3) LEFT\* (B\$,6) SPC(3) LEFT\* (C\$,6) SPC(2) LEFT\* (B\$,6) SPC(3) LEFT\* (E\$,6) SPC(4) LEFT\* (I \$,6) SPC(5) LEFT\* (J\*,6) PRINT SPC(5) LEFT\* (J\*,6) PRINT SUPER HEAT = "SH" K "TAR(35)"SUPERCOUL = "SC" K" PRINT "SUPER HEAT = "SH" K "TAR(35)"SUPERCOUL = "SC" K" PRINT "SUPER HEAT = "SH" K "TAR(35)"SUPERCOUL = "SC" K" PRINT "SAFTEY FACTOR = "FS TAR(35)"LAY PRESS (CM H20) = "(INT ((FU / C3 \* 1000) + .5) / 1000) UN(2) = UM(2) / C2 PRINT "TABE WT="UFW" KG/M2" TAB(35)"NITE PRESS "(INT ((P IV2) / C3 \* 1000) + .5) / 1000) PRINT "TABE WT="NTW TAB(35)"BALONT SYS = "KD PRINT "FIN SYS = "KI(2) TAB(35)"SHAFT = "B(2) PRINT "CAEW WT = "UW PRINT "CAEW T = "B(1) TAB(35)"SHAFT = "B(2) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "RECTENNA = "B(5) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(3) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(4) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(5) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(5) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(5) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(5) TAB(35)"FRIME NOTOR = "B(4) PRINT "RECTENNA AREA = "A(5) TAB(35)"ANGLE OF INCIDENCE LIMIT= PRINT "AUXE ENG = "B(6) TAB(35)"ANGLE OF INCIDENCE LIMIT= "AI +550 J# = +560 PRI 4570 4580 4590 4600 +6104620 4630 +640 4650 4660 468ŏ 4680 4690 4700 4720 4720 4720 4720 4720 4750 4760 4770 " fi I "AI PRINT "MICROWAVE BEAM KW/M2= "K(5) PRINT "LIFT = "LD(3)" KGS", "WEIGHT = "WG" KGS" PRINT TAB( 10)"VELOCITIES, KTS": PRINT "LIMIT="UK(5) TAB( 20)" THRESHOLD="UK(1): PRINT "AUX DESIGN="UK(2) TAB( 20)"CUBE AVE>THR ES="UK(4) PRINT "VOLUME="VO" M3. DIAMETER =" INT (VR \* 2 \* 100 + .5) / 100" M LENGTH WITH 5% CUT=" INT (LF \* 100 + .5) / 100" M" IF LEN (CO\$(1)) < > 0 THEN FRINT "COMMENT ";CO\$(1): PRINT : 4780 4790 4800 4810 \*5) / 100" m ";CO\$(1): PRINT  $\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & IF & LEN & (CO$(1)) < & > & O \\ 10)$CO$(2) & & & & & & \\ PR$ O: IF PQ$ = "1" THEN \\ PR$ 1 & & & & \\ PR$ \end{array}$ SPC( 4820 4830 RETHEN 4840 4850 REM REM I FRINTCHR\$(12) 4860 4870 I: PRINT "DEMONSTRATOR VOLUME= "VO" CUBIC METERS ----> = " (.3048 t 3))" CUBIC FEET 4880 FR# 1: INT (VO / 4890 4900 GOTO 5600 END PRINT"SHIP IS NOT LARGE ENOUGH":PRINT"LIFT = "F,"WEIGHT = 4910 **REM** "REM PRINT"SHIP IS NOT LARGE ENOUGH "PRINT"LIFT = "F,"WEIGF "GEND PRINT TOD MUCH FUEL USED": PRINT "FUEL WT AVAILABLE AFTER SIZING = "M: PRINT "FUEL AVAILABLE PRIOR TO TRAVEL = "DW: E REM KARL'S CONVERGENCE ON VOLUME IF V(1) = 0 THEN V(1) = V0:F(1) = DW: GOTO 4990 V(2) = V0:F(2) = DWV0 = ( - F(1)) \* (V(2) - V(1)) / (F(2) - F(1)) + V(1):V0 =4920 SHIP END 4930 4940 4950 V(1) = V(2):F(1) = F(2) GOTD 2350 VD = VD - (2000 \* 5GN ( GOTD 4980 FR# 1: REM \*\*\*\*SYMROLS HOME : PRINT TAB( 12)" FRINT TAB( 10)"VELOCIT FRINT "UK=VEL KTS" TAB( PRINT "(1)=THRESPO 4960 INT 4970 4980 4990 SGN (IW)) 4990 5000 5010 5020 5020 5040 5050 4980 : REM \*\*\*\*SYMBOLS FLAN\*\*\*\* : FRINT TAB( 12)"\*\* SYMBOLS FLAN \*\*": FRINT TAB( 10)"VELOCITIES" "UK=VEL KTS" TAB( 20)"UM=VEL M/S": FRINT "(1)=THRESHOLD" TAB( 20)"(2)=AUX ONLY" "(3)=MAXIMUM" TAB( 20)"(4)=CUBE AVE MAXS" "(10)=DESIGN SPEED" TAB( 20)"(5)=LIMITING " "VX(I) = AUX ONLY M/S ASCENT-DESCENT AT ALT I : FRINT : FRINT TAB( 10)"COMPONENTS" "(0)=RLOWER" "(1)=FROFELLER" TAB( 20)"(2)=SHAFT" TAB( 40)"(3)=GEARBOX" 5060 5070 FRINT 5080 FRINT PRINT 5090 5100 5110 FRINT PRINT "(4)=PRIMARY MOTOR" TAB( 20)"(5)=RECTENNA" TAB( 40)"(6)=A UX ENGINE" PRINT "(7)=GENERATOR" TAB( 20)"(8)=AVIONICS" TAB( 40)"(9)=PAYLO 51205130 AI!" FRINT "(10)=TRANSWIRE" TAB( 20)"(11)=CONE" TAB( 40)"(12)=WATER 5140

۰. ۱

este Notice Notice

1.5

RECOVERY SYS FRINT "(13)=BALLAST" FRINT : FRINT PRINT : TAB(...10)"POWE PRINT : PRINT : PRINT : PRINT " PRINT " PARTIAL" 5150 5120 5120 5120 5180 5190 \* TAB( 10)"POWER,KW; FORMAT K FUNCTION(COMPONENT)" "--FUNCTIONS--" "K1=LIMITING" TAB( 20)"K2=CRUISE MAX" TAB( 40)"K3=CRUISE "K4=THRESHOLD" TAB( 20)"K5=LIMIT INTO" TAB( 40)"K6=LANDIN 5200 FRINT G'n <sup>"</sup>FRINT "K7=RESERVE" TAB( 20)"KB=DESIGN MAX" TAB( 40)"K9=AUX OFF Stat & AUX DESIGN" FRINT : FRINT TAB( 10)"AUX ENERGY,KWHR: FORMAT H FUNCTION(CO 5210 STAT FRINT FORMAT H FUNCTION (COM 5220 PRINT : FRIN, .... PONENT) PRINT "--FUNCTIONS--" PRINT "H1=ASCENT" TAB( 20)"H2=AUXBACK" TAB( 40)"H3=DN STATION" PRINT "H4=AUXAWAY" TAB( 20)"H5=DESCENT" TAB( 40)"H6=LANDING" PRINT "H7=RESERVE" TAB( 20)"H8=ASCENT OPS" TAB( 40)"H9=DESCENT 5230 5240 5250 5260 FRINT OPS" FRINT "HT=TOTAL MISSION" : PRINT TAB( 10)"FUEL,KG: FORMAT F FUNCTION" "FUNCTION AS FOR ENERGY ABOVE" "FUL=TOTAL MISSION" : PRINT TAB( 10)"TANKS,KG" TAB( 10)"CONVERSION FACTORS": PRINT "C2=KNOTS TO M/S .51 PRINT "C3='CM H20'TO PASCALS 98.0038 "TKS=TOTAL MISSION" : PRINT TAB( 10)"ALTITUBE" "ALT=METERS" TAB( 20)"ZT=KILOMETERS" : PRINT "WEIGHTS,KG" TAB( 20)"WEIGHT CDEFF" TAB( 40)"PDWE F" SPC( 10)"MISC" TAB( 4)"B(COMP)" TAB( 25)"WC(COMP)" TAB( 40)"KC(CDMP)" SPC( (5) RECTENNA AREA" 5270 5280 5290 5300 53310 5320 PRINT PRINT PRINT PRINT PRINT PRINT 4444 FRINT 5330 5340 PRINT PRINT PRINT FRINT : PRINT R COEFF" SPC( PRINT TAP( 5360 5370 PRINT TAB( 4)"B(COMP)" TAB( 25)"WCCCOMP) TAB( 40) RCCCOMP) SPC 10)"AR(5) RECTENNA AREA" PRINT TAB( 1)"FLUS" SPC( 53)"AI ANGLE OF INCIDENCE LIMIT": PRINT TAB( 1)"B2=WIRE RECT TO MOT": PRINT TAB( 1)"B3=WIRE AUX TO MOT 'B(10)=B2+B3" PRINT TAB( 1)"WC=GROSS WT" PRINT TAB( 1)"WC=GROSS WT" PRINT : PRINT TAB( 10)"EFFIC COEFF" 5380 5390 : PRINT TAB( 10)"EFFIC COEFF" "E(COMFONENT) TAB( 10)"CONVERSION FACTORS": PRINT "C2=KNOTS TO M/S .51 PRINT "C3='CM H2O'TO PASCALS 98.0638 5400 PRINT PRINT 5410 5420 4444": 5430 PR# 0: END 5440 REM REM REM SHAFT WT CALC, POWER TO TORQUE TO STRESS TO WT; FOR RECORD PURPOSES, NOT PART OF MAIN PROGRAM E(1) = .9:FI = 3.14159INPUT "K1(1),SL = ";K1(1),SL S(1) = 1.45EB: REM ALGOGITG SHEAR STR N/M2 S(2) = 2700:S(3) = .25: REM AL DENSITYKG/M3, SHAFT RADIUS M S(4) = (K1(1) \* 1000 / E(1)) / (2 \* FI \* 1.67); REM TORQUE AT 5450 5460 5470 H159
= "\$K1(1),SL
AL6061T6 SHEAR STR N/M2
.25: REM AL DENSITYKG/M3, SP
D0 / E(1)) / (2 \* FI \* 1.67); 5480 5490 5500 100 RFM 5(5) = 5510 5520 5530 Ŭ) = S(4) / (S(1) \* S(3)): = S(5) \* S(2) \* SL: REM ( EM\_\_ABOVE\_REDUCES\_TO\_A CON) XSECT AREA M2 WT NG REM WT KG WC(2)\*K1(1)\*SL WHERE WC(2)=0+0 SHAFT ΕX KEM ABOVE REDUCES TO A CONSTANT WC(2)\*K1(1)\*SL WHERE WC(2)=0. 079 FOR FACTORS AS GIVEN. B(2) = 0.0079 \* K1(1) \* SLFRINT "B(2)-BX="B(2) - BX: REM COMPARES SIMPLIFIED B(2) WITH XACT BX FRINT "B(2),K1(1),SL,S(4),S(5)" TAB( 30)B(2): FRINT K1(1) TAB( 20)SL: FRINT S(4) TAB( 20)S(5) GDTD 5450 FNU 5540 5550 COMPARES SIMPLIFIED B(2) WITH E 5560 5570 5580 5590 END END PR# 1: PRINT TAB( 20)"\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* PRINT "CALC LIMIT SPEED AT DTHER ALT, SAME FWR" FDR I = 12 TO 24: READ KV(I): NEXT PRINT "VO="VO" ALT,KM="ZT" ENG KW="K1(4)" FOR I = 12 TO 24:U = UK(5) \* ((R(ZT) / R(I)) ↑ (5) \* LF / KV(I): FRINT "ALT, KM="I" U, KTS=" ) / 10; TAB( 30)"RN/E6=" INT ((RN / 1E6) \* 10 + ZT THEN PRINT " <---DESIGN";:J = I PRINT "<---DESIGN";:J = I</pre> ËNI FR# \* \* \*' 5300 5610 5620 5630 PROP NW="K1(1) (1 / 3));RN = UM INT (U \* 10 + 5 (1 11 15 5640 107 TAB( 30)"RN/E6= T THEN PRINT " <---DE FRINT IF U < UK(1) THEN PRI IF I < UK(1) THEN 5690 10:: ΤF .5) / 5650 5660 5670 PRINT "LIMIT < THRESHOLD OF "UK(1)" NTS" 5680 NEXT 5650 5690 5700 5702 5710 5720 5720 5730 AS\$ = "CV": PR# 1:A% = 2: NT CHR\$ (12): GOSUE 3550 FR# 1: FRINT STOP CLEAR INPUT "VARIAN - FR# 0 T "VARIABLES CLEARED, GOES TO 10, INPUT NEW ALT="#ALT "SKIP": GOTO 10 5K\$ =

4

1

1

۰.

÷.,

! ..

Υ

5740 DATA 4.5574E-5,5.3325E-5,6.2391E-5,7.2995E-5,8.5397E-5 5750 DATA 9.9902E-5,1.1686E-4,1.3070E-4,1.5989E-4,1.8845E-4,2.2201E -4,2.6135E-4,3.0743E-4 5760 PR# 1: PRINT CHR\$ (29): PRINT "FUEL USED": PRINT "FUEL USED": PRINT : PRINT CHR\$ (31): PRINT "FUEL USED, CHR\$(31)": PRINT "FUEL USED ": PRINT : PRINT : END

ę

ŝ

s Çvi Çvi

5 - 2 - 2

JRUM

1

. ..

. **I** 3 4

## HAPPDEMO 2000782 BASELINE

THORE FITH	- SUF 1	FINS	2800182						
VDL MT3	ALT KM	THRSH KW	LIMIT KW	PROP KW	WEVN Kg	PSWT KG	FUEL KG	PLR KG	BLST
10550	15	23.290	56	45,963	692.00 48%	498.00 35%	145.00	100	235.2
SUPER H CD = .0 SAFTEY UNIT FA WEIG	EAT = 18 FACTO B WT= HTS KI	10.7 K R = 5 11867 KG SS:	/M2	BUF Pro Day Nit	ERCOOL = )P CI = . ' PRESS ( E PRESS=	-17.2 K 01876818 CM H2D) 2.5	345 ≖ 12,273		
TAPE WT FIN SYS CONE WT VALVE W	= 27; = 55; = 47; T = 3;	.1205152 1271831 .22350151 	SYSTEM	HUL BAL BLD	L = 339. ONT SYS WER = 13	00644 = 206.59 .9036066	0872		
PROPELL GEAR BO RECTENN AUXE EN AVIONIC	ER = 9 X = 2 A = 0 G = 0 S = 1	76.5223 2.876 17.3		SHA FRI TRA GEN TAN WAT	NFT = 6.3 ME MDTOR NS. WIRE NERATOR NS = 17. ER RECOV	308 = 235.2 = 0 = 2.343 3103388 ERY=0			· · ·
RECTENN MICROWA LIFT =	A AREA VE BEA	A =0 Am K₩/M2= 48424 KGS	0	ANG	LE OF IN 17 = 1674	CIDENCE .55296 K	LIMIT=0 GS		
LIMIT=7 AUX DES VOLUME= DEMONST	VEL( 3.3835 IGN=0 10550 RATOR	DCITIES, I B26 THI M3. I VOLUME=	KTS RESHOLD= RE AVE>T LAMETER LOSSO CU	55 HRES=0 =19.43 M BIC METE	LENG	TH WITH = 37256	5% CUT=6 9 CUBIC	3.33 M FEET	
CALC LI VO=1055 ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM ALT, KM FOWER TO TIME TO FUEL US	MIT SF 0 ALT =12 =13 =14 =15 =16 FF ASC FF ASC DLIMI BIST AUXBA	* 1 *EEU AT 0 (,KM=15 U, KTS=6 U, KTS=6 U, KTS=6 U, KTS=7 U, KTS=7 U, KTS=7 U, KTS=7 CENT AT 1 * 1 CENT AT 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 *	K * * * HER AL ENG KW= 2-7 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1	* * * PO * * * PO SA E85 RN/E85 RN/E85 RN/E85 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	* * * * WR F KW=45. .5 .8 .8 .8 .7 ROFILE * WASHDC S	* * 963 ESIGN UMMER 84 THRESHD	z LD SPD		
STATION SHIP SP	WIND EED D	# =43.64 K THRESHDI	K X X X TS I FOWER	DN-STATI -55_KNDT	ŎŇ PŘOFI S¦LIMITI	LE * * * NG VEL =	* * _73:3835	826KTS	05 40
FUR AHR POWERED TIME TO FUEL FO AUXAWAY FUEL FO FUEL FO FUEL FO FUEL SO	S KESE *** IVESCE IVESCE R IVESCE R IVESC	KVE + 4HI * * ISI NI AT 15 ENT = 7. LT TIME A WAY = 6. IER = 2.1 ING 4HR / DESCENT	NE MANEU CENT PRO M/MIN L5 KM=1. 37524863 NJ DISTA 5639557 3649184 5649184 NT THRES OPS INC K * * *	VERING U FILE, A 666666667 NCE = 1. NCS KGS HOLD FWR L 8HR LA SUMMARY	UXAWAY A HRS 48332981 (SL 29.8 NDING = * * * *	HRS AND KT )=17.7 33.77629	005967 Ki 29 KG	ESHDLD * 3336 KM GS	* * * *

HAPPDEMO 2600782 BASELINE 2800782 NOLPHIN SOFT FINS FROP WEVN PSWT FUEL FLD BLST KW KG KG KG KG KG ALT THRSH VŨL LIMIT ht3 КM K₩ 长屋 \_\_\_\_ 45.963 692.00 498.00 149.00 100 48% 35% 9% 10550 15 23.290 56 235.2 SUPERCOOL = -17.2 K PROP CD = .0187681845 DAY PRESS (CM H20) = 12.273 NITE PRESS= 2.5 SUPER HEAT = 16.7 K CD = .018 SAFTEY FACTOR = 5 UNIT FAR WT=.11867 KG/M2 ---WEIGHTS KGS:------WEIGHTS KGS: ---ENVELOPE WT TAPE WT = 27.1205152 FIN SYS = 55.1271831 CONE WT = 47 VALVE WT = 3.22350151FOWER SYSTEM WT PROPELLER = 96.5223GEAR FOX = 22.876RECTENNA = 0 AUXE ENG = 0 AVIONICS = 117.3HULL = 339.00644 BALDNT SYS = 206.590892 RLDWER = 13.9036066 SHAFT = 6.330BPRIME MOTOR = 235.2 TRANS. WIRE = 0 GENNERATOR = 2.343 TANKS = 17.3103388 WATER RECOVERY=0 RECTENNA AREA =0 ANGLE OF INCIDENCE LIMIT=0 RECTENNA AREA =0 MICROWAVE REAM KW/M2= 0 LIFT = 1674.48424 KGS VELOCITIES, KTS LIMIT=73.3835826 THRESHOLD=55 AUX DESIGN=0 CUBE AVE>THRES=0 VOLUME=10550 M3. DIAMETER =19.43 M LENGTH WITH 5% CUT=63.33 M DEMONSTRATOR VOLUME= 10550 CUBIC METERS ----> = 372569 CUBIC FEET / 

.

ан -Т., 254

. . .

A -12

APPENDIX B

.:

;

## APPENDIX B

1 43 43

.

£

÷

.

. • 1

This Appendix in Section 1 gives results of a brief survey of engine possibilities for the HAPP Demonstrator.

Section 2 is a presentation by Thunder Engines, Inc., on the possibilities for development of a suitable turbocharged reciprocating engine for the HAPP Project. APPENDIX B

# SECTION 1

HAPP DEMONSTRATOR

1

.

) ) (22)

£7.

ELECTRIC \* Motor

Battery

Lithium

NiCad

Fuel Cell

Reciprocating Generator

RECIPROCATING



TURBINE

Turbojet

Turboshaft

ELECTRIC

An electric drive motor propulsion system can be configured several different ways. In all cases, the drive motor is a DC Samarium Cobolt electric drive.

Drive Motor

<u>Inland Motor Co</u>. Samarium Cobolt 250 - 300 VDC 30 HP per motor @ 10000 rpm Liquid Cooled .80 - .90 efficiency off the shelf

Battery

Nickle - Cadmium

Union Carbide and RCA Astro Electronics Long Shelf Life  $\sim 25 \frac{Watt - hrs}{#}$ 

550 Kw - hrs  $\rightarrow$  22000 lbs

Lithium

RCA Astro Electronics and NASA Goddard
Non-rechargable (primary)
2.4 - 3.3 volts/cell
Usually deliver power @ slow rates
~ 100 <u>Watt - hrs</u>
#

550 Kw - hrs → 5500 1bs

ELECTRIC (cont'd)

Fuel Cell

Westinghouse Advanced Energy Systems Englehrad Industrial and United Technologies Electro chemical reaction Can be wired for just about any voltage Can constantly adjust to power demanded 30 <u>Watts</u> # 37 kw  $\rightarrow$  1230 lbs 40 Std ft<sup>3</sup> of hydrogen/kw-hr May require oxygen or compressor to meet oxygen demanded, H<sub>2</sub> + 0  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>0 \$100K - 500K w/controller electronics

Generator

Inland Motor/RPM Development Samarium Cobolt Generator Turbocharged Reciprocating (See Recip. Power Plant Section) Will require development for generator

### TURBINE

A turbine based system may be difficult to start at altitude. The turbine power output capability decreases with inlet air density. To provide 50 hp at altitude, the engine must be sized to provide ten times that at sea level.

### <u>TURBINE</u> (cont'd)

### Turbojet

High velocity exhaust gas inappropriate for use with slow flying vehicle.

### Turboshaft

Williams Research and Pratt and Whitney
PT-6-A25, 550 shp @ SL output 2200 rpm
.60 lb/HP-hr
303 lbs
Fuel wt ≈ 450 lbs for 15 hrs at 50 hp.
Requires an additional gearbox to
 output 100 rpm from 2200 rpm output
This engine has been operated at 55000 feet.
Vertical operation during launch potential problem.
Must start engine at low altitude.

### RECIPROCATING

Due to the low air density at 50000 feet, the inlet air to the engine must be compressed. This can be accomplished with a gear driven (supercharger) or exhaust powered (turbocharged) compressor. To obtain a wide range of power levels at altitude, the compressor as a minimum must have two stages. An additional consideration is engine cooling. Due to the low air density, air cooling, in any conventional sense, is not adequate and the engine must be liquid cooled. Aviation engine manufacturer expertise generally apply to air cooled engines. A liquid cooled, high altitude reciprocating engine is a special development item.

## RECIPROCATING (cont'd)

Three possible fuels are considered.

1. Hydrogen - Requires insulated tank.

Potential handling problems.

- 2. Fossil Fuel Well understood
- 3. Hydrazine Not pursued because it was felt

too hazerdous for this mission.

RPM Development

165 in<sup>3</sup> 4 cylinder

170 hp.

SFC = ?

Will require turbocharger and gear box development.

Engine \$50 K

Gearbox \$50 K

Turbo \$100 K

Rotoway

4 cylinder, opposed Water cooled block 100 hp at SL Used in small helicopter Development costs unknown

B1-6



100 - 100 -

. .

. 15

R

1.0

# THUNDER ENGINES INC.

PROPULSION SYSTEM PROPOSAL

FOR I.L.C./HAP PROJECT

Prepared by Thunder Engines, Inc. 501 Reservation Road Marina, California 93933 (408) 384-3063

October 1982

B2-1

THUNDER ENGINES INC.

1.5

November 3, 1982

I. L. C. Dover, Inc. P. O. Box 266 Frederica, Delaware 19946

Attn: James Thiele

Dear Mr. Thiele:

Please find enclosed the propulsion system description for your proposal on the HAP project.

In summary, this material comprises:

- 1.) The Problem Statement.
- 2.) The Design Approach of Thunder Engines.
- 3.) An approach to engine sizing.
- 4.) Description of two candidate engines.
- 5.) The turbosystem analysis.
- 6.) Heat exchanger design and sizing calculations.
- 7.) Sketch of the layshaft-type gearbox.
- 8.) A weight summary.
- 9.) A cost overview.
- 10.) A brief description of Thunder Engines facility and staff structure.

I trust this material is of use in your report, and it may interest you to know we have aimed for the maximum amount of duplication between this proposal and the Lockheed HI-SPOT with regard to the candidate engine and parts of the turbosystem.

Thunder Engines is, we believe, uniquely equipped by reason of company size, recent experience and industry contact to be a very effective sub-contractor on your propulsion system. Please consider our company very seriously if the contract for a flight demonstrator is awarded.

Yours sincerely,

W.M. Warde.

W. Martin Waide Vice President Engineering

WMW:lah

The Problem Statement

٦

10

Thunder Engines R & D staff have been approached for an initial appraisal of a propulsion system with the following characteristics.

Rated Power at Altitude - 70 B.H.P. at 50,000 feet.

Minimum practical installed system weight.

Emphasis on minimum fuel consumption.

Reduction drive for large, slow-turning propeller.

A turbocharged, liquid cooled, four-cycle engine can be produced with these characteristics. Extensive supporting data exists from similar automotive and aircraft designs, and as a result, the following description is seen as a low-risk approach.

A brief outline of the analytical approach to engine, turbocharger and intercooler sizing is provided in subsequent paragraphs.

### The Design Approach of Thunder Engines

Thunder Engines capability includes the design, prototype build and testing skills necessary to produce a lightweight engine of the required displacement.

However, a brief survey of existing liquid cooled, light, large displacement 4 cylinder engines shows that the Lotus 907 is a possible candidate. This British engine is constructed from aluminum die castings, and as 70 B.H.P. at 4000 rpm is a considerable de-rating from its designed power, a bore and stroke increase to 4.1 x 3.25 is feasible. These modifications give a swept volume of 165 cubic inches. An assembled engine dry weight of 155 lbs. can be achieved.

One other alternative is the recently constructed 4 cylinder Weslake engine, which would produce its rated power at 4000 rpm from a displacement of 236 cubic inches, but which would require a conversion to liquid cooling. This is already a minimum-weight design, being intended for large motor gliders.

Both the above engines feature four valves per cylinder giving high combustion efficiency and a 70% power B.S.F.C. of 0.40 lbs. per H.P. hour. Depending on the time and budget constraints of the subject program, the modification of an existing proven engine would merit further study. (Thunder Engines could, however, rapidly produce a candidate engine which properly meets the unique requirements.\*)

\*i.e. we could build from a fresh design, but it makes more sense to use she most applicable, excisting, cylinder block as a basis for a flight engine. B2-3



THUNDER ENGINES INC.

Photographs of various components of the in-line 4-cylinder engine are enclosed. Please understand that the engine will be re-configured with a lightweight crankshaft, and a light, single camshaft cylinder head.

One other candidate engine, still in development by General Motors, but undoubtedly intended for production, is the Buick lightweight V-6 engine.

This die cast, integral crankcase, cylinder block and cylinder liner component is currently being produced in small batches for prototype use. A considerable investment has been made in the tooling, and the beautiful result represents the "state of the art" in thin-wall Reynolds 390 aluminum cylinder blocks. Weighing 38 pounds, the 160 to 180 cubic inch displacement block is close to the weight of some experimental graphite/ glass/epoxy blocks, yet avoids the expense and risk of that approach. An adequate supply of these blocks could almost certainly be negotialted with G.M. senior management when the time came to build a propulsion system in '83.

Photographs of this block are enclosed, and some of the automotive features amounting to 15 cubic inches of material not required for the flight application could be removed, saving 1.4 pounds. At a rated power of 70 B.H.P., the engine is conservatively stressed and represents a low-risk, fast response approach for an available candidate engine. Again, the crankshaft, cylinder heads and accessories would be reconfigured in flight weight form. A small scale drawing showing this v-6 engine with manifolding is enclosed, as are some full scale block drawings to enable you to get an appreciation of relative sizes.

The design and development of lightweight high-efficiency turbochargers is a complex subject, and Thunder Engines is currently contracted to a small California company (THERMO MECHANICAL specializing in this field. In the areas of analysis, SYSTE prototype build and sub-system test, Thunder Engines would be properly supported in the turbocharger aspects of the program.

With regard to the propeller reduction gearing, Thunder Engines has successfully designed, built and tested a series of reduction gear boxes. Complete responsibility could be taken for this part of the project, with actual gear manufacture being sub-contracted to one of three Los Angeles gear specialists. THUNDER ENGINES INC.

### Engine Sizing

 Cubic Inch displacement is determined as follows:

- 2. F/A ratio is assumed to be 0.067

 $\frac{\text{in fuel}}{\text{F/A}} = \frac{0.476 \text{ ID-1} \text{ucl}}{0.067 \text{ lb. fuel/lb.air}}$ = 7.134 lb/min engine airflow.

3. Manifold Pressure is given to be 15 "HgA Manifold air temperature to be 85°F., and volumetric efficiency to be 100% C.I.D. =7.134 lb/minX0.37 <u>H.m.R</u> (460+85)°R.( <u>12in</u> 3 <u>15.3</u> \_\_\_\_\_ (4000 \_\_\_ \_\_\_ )(1 evelo)

2.036 p.s.i.a.(4000 r.p.m.)(<u>1 cycle</u>) 2 rev.

= 165 CUBIC INCHES

4. Brake Mean Effective Pressure is given by <u>B.H.P. X 33,00</u>0 L.A.N/2

> where L = length of stroke in feet A = total piston area in square inches N/2 = no. of firing strokes per minute.

 $B.M.E.P. = \frac{70 \times 33,000 \times 12}{3.25 \times 52.8 \times 2,000}$ = 80.8 P.S.I.

There are some specific reasons for selecting a low B.M.E.P., a relatively low manifold pressure and correspondingly large swept volume.

The low B.M.E.P. gives modest engine stresses and allows light components to be used.

The low manifold pressure permits a two-stage comp.ressor, operating at a modest pressure ratio and hence allowing a broad range of powers.

\* For refe 50,000 ft. demonstration. 3-stages regid. for 70 Kft.







: :... EXPERIMENTAL ENGINE BLOCK V6 ALUMINUM ALLOY WEIGHT 38 POUNDS


Ξ.

THUNDER ENGINES INC.

TURBO-SYSTEM PROPOSAL FOR THE I.L.C. PROPULSION SYSTEM.

Prepared for Thunder Engines Inc. by:-Thermo Mechanical Systems Co. Canoga Park, California.

The proprietory rights are to be observed.

Technical data contained in this proposal shall not be used or disclosed, except for evaluation purposes, provided that if a contract is awarded to this submitter as a result of or in connection with the submission of this proposal, the Buyer shall have the right to use or disclose this technical data to the extent provided in the contract. This restriction does not limit the Buyer's right to use or disclose any technical data obtained from another source without restriction.

## 1.0 OVERVIEW/OBJECTIVES

This proposal presents the TMS approach for developing a turbocharger system for the TE high altitude propulsion system. Propulsion system performance goals, at the design 70,000 ft altitude, are:

. Maximum power of 70 BHP at about 4000 RPM and 17"Hga boost pressure

. Minimum power of about <u>15 BHP</u> at about 2000 RPM and <u>7.3"Hga boost</u> pressure The proposed TE engine is of about 165 in<sup>3</sup> displacement and, with about 94% volumetric efficiency, gives maximum and minimum power air flow rates of .124 lb/sec and .0266 lb/sec respectively. For best efficiency (i.e. lowest fuel consumption) over this broad operating range (i.e. 15 to 70 hp) it was decided to use a 3 stage turbocharger system with maximum per stage compressor pressure ratio of about 2.4 to 1. This low per stage compressor pressure ratio will provide significantly better fuel economy over the required broad range than a 2 stage system.

The following sections present i) the system preliminary analyses/design, ii) the proposed development program (i.e. Statement of Work), and iii) the estimated program time. Also included as an attachment to this proposal is a TMS report giving a brief summary of experience capabilities, facilities, personnel and related contracts.

#### 2.0 SYSTEM PRELIMINARY ANALYSES AND DESIGN

it in the second second

. ....

A preliminary analyses was performed to determine 1) compressor design requirements, 2) turbine design requirements, and 3) turbo/engine matching requirements, over the range of engine operating conditions.

With respect to item (1), the performance goals dictate a three stage turbocharger system having first, second, and third stage compressor impeller diameters of about 6.25 inches, 5.0 inches, and 3.0 inches, respectively. These impellers will be machined using existing TMS tooling and will be of an existing design which has demonstrated high efficiency over a broad range. However, due to the relatively low compressor pressure ratios per stage (compared to previous TMS compressor designs) the outlet area from each impeller must be increased (by as much as 50%) over that of previous TMS impellers.

With respect to item (2), preliminary analyses indicates that off-theshelf commercial turbine wheels can be used for all three stages. The first stage will utilize the Howmet fabricated VAT turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 6.4 inches; the second stage will utilize the AID T18A40 turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 5.1 inches; and the third stage will utilize the Schwitzer 4LE303 turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 3.6 inches.

With respect to item (3), Figures 1 and 2 present the results of the turbo/ engine matching at the maximum and minimum power conditions, respectively, at the 70,000 ft design altitude. It should be noted that these results are the final results of many iterations in which turbine nozzle areas were matched i) to provide the required 7.3"Hga boost at minimum power conditions while ii) providing equal compressor pressure ratios of about 2.41 at maximum power conditions. As noted in Figure 1, the maximum horsepower (70 BHP) propulsion system operating conditions are:

#### 2.0 SYSTEM PRELIMINARY ANALYSES AND DESIGN

33

A preliminary analyses was performed to determine 1) compressor design requirements, 2) turbine design requirements, and 3) turbo/engine matching requirements, over the range of engine operating conditions.

With respect to item (1), the performance goals dictate a three stage turbocharger system having first, second, and third stage compressor impeller diameters of about 6.25 inches, 5.0 inches, and 3.0 inches, respectively. These impellers will be machined using existing TMS tooling and will be of an existing design which has demonstrated high efficiency over a broad range. However, due to the relatively low compressor pressure ratios per stage (compared to previous TMS compressor designs) the outlet area from each impeller must be increased (by as much as 50%) over that of previous TMS impellers.

With respect to item (2), preliminary analyses indicates that off-theshelf commercial turbine wheels can be used for all three stages. The first stage will utilize the Howmet fabricated VAT turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 6.4 inches; the second stage will utilize the AID T18A40 turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 5.1 inches; and the third stage will utilize the Schwitzer 4LE303 turbine rotor with a tip diameter of about 3.6 inches.

With respect to item (3), Figures 1 and 2 present the results of the turbo/ engine matching at the maximum and minimum power conditions, respectively, at the 70,000 ft design altitude. It should be noted that these results are the final results of many iterations in which turbine nozzle areas were matched i) to provide the required 7.3"Hga boost at minimum power conditions while ii) providing equal compressor pressure ratios of about 2.41 at maximum power conditions. As noted in Figure 1, the maximum horsepower (70 BHP) propulsion system operating conditions are:

. an engine speed of 4000 RPM

. an air flow rate of .124 lb/sec

- . a boost pressure of 17.0"Hga giving an engine  $\triangle$  P of +8.5"Hga an exhaust pressure of 8.5"Hga
- . an engine exhaust gas temperature of 2110°R
- . 30% wastegate flow

• . \_

- . equal compressor pressure ratios of 2.41
- . 1st, 2nd, and 3rd stage turbo speeds of 42,903, 59,141, and
  - 98,550 RPM respectively
- . 1st, 2nd, and 3rd stage turbo shaft horsepowers of 7.0, 9.0, and 9.0 hp respectively, with 3% bearing losses
- . assumed intercooler  $\triangle$  P's of 4% and outlet temperatures of 545 R
- . compressor and turbine efficiencies between 70 to 75%

Similarly, from Figure 2 the minimum horsepower (15 BHP) propulsion system operating conditions are:

- . an engine speed of 2000 RPM
- . an air flow rate of .0266 lb/sec
- . a boost pressure of 7.33"Hga
- giving an engine  $\triangle P$  of +4.4"Hga . an exhaust pressure f 2.94"Hga
- . an engine exhaust gas temperature of 2110<sup>°</sup>R
- . 3% wastegate flow (due to leakage only)
- . 1st, 2nd, and 3rd stage compressor pressure ratios of 1.41, 1.75, and 2.30 respectively
- . 1st, 2nd, and 3rd stage turbo speeds of 25,719, 46,051, and 95,278 RPM respectively
- . 1st, 2nd, and 3rd stage turbo shaft horsepowers of .52, 1.14, and 1.8 hp respectively, again with 3% bearing losses
- assumed intercooler  $\triangle$  P's of 1% and outlet temperatures of 545 $^{\circ}$ R

в2-12

compressor and turbine efficiencies between 70 to 75%

As shown in Figures 1 and 2, only the 2nd and 3rd stage air coolers are needed as the combination of i) the low ambient temperature  $(392^{\circ}R)$ and ii) the low stage pressure ratio, eliminates the need for the first stage air cooler. As shown, the 2nd and 3rd stage air cooler heat rejection rates are 6.2 Btu/sec each at the maximum power condition, and .80 Btu/sec and 1.24 Btu/sec respectively, at the minimum power condition . Furthermore, the preliminary control system analyses indicates that a single wastegate between the engine and the 3rd stage turbine will provide the required operating range control. As indicated, this wastegate will be essentially closed (i.e. except for leakage), at the minimum power condition and will be approximately 30% open at the maximum power condition. This 30% wastegate flow is necessary to keep from overboosting the engine (i.e. beyond 17.0"Hga) at the maximum power condition.

. ::) ::

-{

#### 3.0 PROPOSED PROGRAM (STATEMENT OF WORK)

1

:

The development/demonstration of the propulsion system identified in the previous section will be accomplished via the following specific tasks:

Task 1 - Configuration Cycle and System Analysis, Detailed Design and Interface Requirements

Task 2 - Fabrication and Procurement of Turbochargers and Ancillary Equipment

Task 3 - Test Program Preparation

Task 4 - Test Facility Preparation

Task 5 - Testing and Development of Turbocharger and Engine System

Task 6 - Reporting

A brief summary of the effort proposed for each of these tasks is as follows:

## Task 1 - Configuration Cycle and System Analysis, Detailed Design and Interface Reguirements

The configuration cycle and system analysis, detailed design and interface requirements will further detail and expand on the preliminary analysis and design presented in the previous section. Analysis subtasks to be performed in this task include (but are not limited to):

1. To determine engine BMEP, BSFC, and BHP at required engine operating conditions. TMS has available turbocharger and engine matching computer programs that can be used to determine such critical parameters as compressor and turbine efficiencies, required turbine nozzle areas, wastegate settings, engine  $\triangle P$ , etc. These computer programs allow determination of propulsion system performance as a function of individual component performance parameters (e.g. turbine efficiency, engine volumetric efficiency, heat exchanger pressure drops, etc.). As a result of this computer program capability, TMS, in close coordination with the engine manufacturer, can suggest/utilize engine design parameters to optimize overall powerplant performance.

2. To evaluate potential control systems for optimizing propulsion system performance. Potential control techniques include: exhaust system

wastegate, variable-pitch propeller, engine throttling, and intercooler bypass. It is very possible that several of these controls will be required for optimum powerplant performance.

Ę.

- <u>1</u>

3. To evaluate and to determine the matching parameters (e.g. flow rate, pressure ratio, speed, efficiency, compressor surge) of the three turbochargers as they relate to engine parameters (e.g. flow rate, pressure and temperature at exhaust opening) at required engine operating conditions. This will include final sizing i) the inducers and diffusers for the compressor rotors and ii) the nozzles and exducers for the turbine rotors. In addition, close coordination with the engine manufacturer will be maintained so that engine design options (e.g. valve timing, valve sizes) will be made to give voverall highest propulsion system performance.

4. To determine/estimate the weight of all turbocharger system components and to reevaluate, if necessary, to meet program goals.

5. To evaluate intake manifold, exhaust stack, and interconnecting duct designs and associated losses (e.g. friction, turning, and thermal losses) and to determine acceptable designs to meet overall performance objectives. At the cold environmental temperatures (e.g.  $-65^{\circ}F$ ) it may be important to insulate the exhaust stacks and turbine housings to reduce energy losses.

6. To evaluate and specify the turbocharger lubrication system design. It is anticipated that the turbochargers will utilize the engine oil lubricant system.

With respect to the detailed design of the turbocharger system, all necessary design effort to define the turbocharger system hardware for fabrication will be performed. This will include showing turbocharger system connections to the engine, heat exchangers and airframe as coordinated with the engine and airframe contractors. As indicated in the previous preliminary analyses section, the estimated compressor impeller

diameters are 6.25, 5.0, and 3.0 inches for the 1st, 2nd,

÷9

and 3rd stages respectively. The turbine rotors will be from available commerical units; the VAT for the 1st stage, the AID T18A40 for the second stage, and the Schwitzer 4LE303 for the third stage. Low friction ball bearing assemblies will be designed for each turbocharger. In addition, adjustable geometry compressor diffuser and turbine nozzle vanes will be used on all stages to insure optimum turbo/eninge matching. This adjustable geometry can/will be eliminated in the final flight hardware. The estimated flight weight for the complete turbocharger system (including wastegate, ducting, insulation, etc.) is about 50 lbs with the first prototype demonstration version weight being about twice that. The additional weight of this first prototype version is due to i) the adjustable geometry and associated heavier hardware, ii) the flanged and bolted heavy duty ducting, and iii) the heavier weight materials for test development durability considerations.

Task 2 - Fabrication 'and Procurement of Turbochargers and Ancillary Equipment

Based upon the results of Task 1 above, TMS will fabricate or procure the hardware for two complete turbocharger sets (3 stages each) with an additional set of critical spare parts (impellers, rotors, bearings, etc.).

TMS presently has the tooling to manufacture all three compressor impellers. It is anticipated that the turbine rotors will be rotors from existing turbochargers (third stage from the Schwitzer 4LE303, second stage from the AiResearch T18A40, and the first stage from the VAT) with slight modifications. TMS utilizes several local machine shops to fabricate/modify turbocharger components. The turbocharger housings will be fabricated using sheet metal and all components will be designed with weight and reliability as critical design criteria. Other turbocharger system components (controls, ducting, bearings) will be fabricated or procured as appropriate.

### Task 3 - Test Program Preparation

The detailed test plan and test data computational procedures will be formulated for testing the complete turbocharger and engine system.

# Task 4 - Test Facility Preparation

•••

.

## Turbocharger Test Facilities

Initial turbocharger testing will first be performed in the TMS blockhouse test facility. This will permit developmental testing of individual turbocharger stages under steady flow conditions necessary for generating accurate compressor impeller performance maps. This facility will be modified as necessary to accommodate the turbochargers developed in the previous tasks. The turbocharger will be mounted on a test bench and monitored by operators at a console outside the blockhouse via an observation window. All instrumentation readouts will be located in instrumentation panels at the console location.

Turbine drive air will be supplied by two series-connected centrifugal compressors driven by an Allison aircraft engine located just outside the lab facility. The pressurized air will be ducted through the blockhouse wall to a J-33 jet combustor which can add additional energy to the air if required. This configuration can supply six pounds of turbine drive air per second at 60 psia and up to  $1500^{\circ}$ F. Air pressure will be controlled primarily by varying the speed of the Allison engine. Turbine exhaust air will be vented unthrottled to ambient through a muffler.

Figure 3 shows a typical turbocharger installation on the TMS test cart. The moveable test cart incorporates an oil tank, oil pump, oil coolers and an oil safety system. Air drawn into the compressor is metered by a venturi connected to a 60 inch vertical water manometer. Compressor pressure ratio is controlled by reducing inlet pressure with a remotely-controlled, electricallyoperated butterfly valve while exhausting compressor air to ambient pressure.

#### Propulsion System Test Facilities

*.*:

.

Ĵ.

1

ŝ

Layout. Figure 4 presents a very simplified schematic of the TMS high altitude propulsion system test facility which will be used in this program. This facility will be modified as necessary to accommodate the propulsion system developed in this program. The altitude chamber encompasses the engine, turbochargers, dynomometer and the sensing portions of the instrumentation. The functions external to the chamber are the display and recording instrumentation, the safety monitors, and the environment generation and control.

System level testing under altitude conditions will be accomplished as shown diagrammatically by Figure 5. The tank (2) is mounted on tracked wheels and attached to a tooling plate with quick release toggles so that it can be quickly rolled away from the enclosed test components (i.e. engine, turbos) which remain supported by the heavy tooling plate. This provides easy access to those components without requiring disconnection of the many plubming or electrical connections which pass through the tooling plate.

Air enters the system through the expander (1) located at the front of the tank (2), first passing through a throttling valve which controls tank pressure, and then through the expander wheel which provides the required temperature drop. From the expander, the cold, low pressure air enters the tank and is ducted to the turbocharger compressors, passes through the engine, the turbocharger turbines and exits the tank through the exhaust duct (3). To allow for thermal expansion, the duct takes an upward angle after leaving the tank and forms an expansion loop as it curves back down to the exhaust precooler inlet (4). Leaving the precooler, the exhaust passes through a throttling valve (5) before entering the first Roots blower (6). From the blower exit it flows to the intercooler (7) prior to entering the second Roots blower (8). Leaving that blower it goes through intercooler (9) and then to four rotary piston pumps (10) before being exhausted to ambient through a ventilation duct (11). The exhaust

precooler and the intercoolers are all water-cooled as are the pumps and blowers. Two of the water-cooled turbo intercoolers, (12) and (13), are located immediately adjacent to the tank to reduce pressure losses. The first turbo intercooler (14), being much larger, was placed on the floor immediately to the rear of (12) and (13).

1.111.1

Instrumentation. The turbocharger instrumentation will be read out on specially fabricated instrumentation consoles located just outside the vacuum chamber. The engine related parameters will be read out on the dynomometer console gages and digital displays, and will be permanently recorded on the console printer whenever a data point is taken. Figure 6 presents a copy of the permanent printout which will be provided by the Superflow dynomometer. Recorded there are:

> Engine Speed Exhaust Temp (Each Cylinder) Airflow Volume Fuel Flow Torque Horsepower Brake Specific Fuel Consumption Air to Fuel Ratio

and

<u>Safety System</u>. To prevent damage to the engine, turbochargers, or vacuum system during a malfunction, a safety system will be incorporated to shutdown the engine if certain limits are exceeded.

Previous experience has shown that where a safety system can be activated by any of several parameters, determining the deviant parameter can be difficult if the system does not include an over limit call out. Therefore, the present system will include an over limit indicator light for each monitored parameter.

#### The following parameters will be monitored by the safety system:

Engine Speed Coolant Level Coolant Temp (out) Crankcase Pressure Oil Temp Out (engine sump) Oil Pressure (includes turbo oil pressure) Oil Tank Level

Turbochargers Speed Oil Out Temp Bearing Temp Turbine Inlet Temp, 3rd Stage Only Dyno Cooling Water Out

Figure 7 presents a photograph of the TMS high altitude vacuum chamber closed on the tooling plate, while Figure 8 presents a photograph of the high altitude test facility instrumentation consoles.

#### Task 5 - Testing and Development of Turbocharger and Engine System

TMS will provide the personnel, facilities and the equipment necessary for complete development and endurance testing of the turbocharger and engine system. A description of the proposed turbocharger and engine test facility, and its operation, has been given in the previous section. This facility will have all the necessary controls, instrumentation, and data taking capability to completely define the turbocharger and engine system performance. During this testing, hardware design changes necessary to accomplish performance goals will be made and development testing repeated as necessary.

### Task 6 - Reporting

č

During conduct of this program reporting will be accomplished by telephone conversations, personal visits/discussions, and monthly letter progress reports to (1) relate the program status in general and (2) point out any specific factors that may affect the program plan or otherwise be of immediate interest. This arrangement will provide the opportunity to review

information acquired during the program in a timely fashion and to suggest changes in program direction, if desired. A detailed Final Report will be submitted at the end of the program documenting all efforts, results, conclusions, and recommendations.

1 11 12

n a Finite Angle - B Angle - B Angle - B Angle - B

.

# 4.0 ESTIMATED PROGRAM TIME AND COSTS

.

•

---

÷ .,

.

•

It

Figure 9 presents the proposed task and time schedule with major milestones for this program. As indicated there, the major program milestones are:

End of 5th month -	Analysis, configuration and design of complete turbocharger system completed
End of 6th month -	Test Program Plan completed
End of 9th month	Turbocharger System Fabrication completed Test Facility Preparation completed
End of 11th month-	Turbocharger System Development completed (Bench Test)
End of 17th month-	Engine/Turbocharger System Development completed (Including redesign, retest)
End of 18th month-	Detailed Final Report submitted
is estimated that this	program can be completed in seventeen months,

with the Final Report being submitted at the end of the eighteenth month.

1.322 1363 westega t B 545 2110 .124 .06 Ю 4 , 30° 2.40 1551 Lb/Sec  $\eta_v = .94 \quad V \quad \downarrow$ FIXED PARAMETERS % Å INTER COOLER OUTLET TEMP "R ? 4.72 છ 1805 TURBO BEARING LOSSES ENGINE EXHAUST TEMP Q=6.2 Btu INTERCOOLER AP. INTER-CYCLE FLOW RATE COOLER 3 2 **PATIO** 2060 8.53 0 8.5"Hga ો 8.53 2110 F A 3 CD  $\Delta P_{c} =$ SIAGE 9 17.0 545 € 17.76 6 752 Q=6.2 Btu 2.41 98550 INTER-COLER n 2 ND 9.0 545 7.37 1 ত 7.68 2/1-0/-SPECIFICATIONS 59141 752 2.41 2 "B *SINGE* 0'6 TURR ž c 3.19 545 -----બિ **e** 42903 2.41 TURBOCHARGER 552 3.19 1.322 392 RPM ("H3a) TEMPERATURE (°R) COMPR PRESSURE RATIO STAGE **TURBINE EFFICIENCY** 6 SPEED COMPR EFFICIENCY ЧH PRESSURE TURBO **3HAFT** STAGE

Propulsion System Operating Parameters at maximum power conditions (70 BHP and 4000 RPM at 70,000 ft altitude) FIGURE 1:

B2-23

0

σ

đ

٢

Q

5

4

R

2

0

POSITION

2

=	1660	1.34	leakago	gata				$\overline{)}$	$\sum$			.0	545		011	90	
01	ا 1735	1.53	38(	T waste				$\mathcal{L}$			L / Cer		~~~	%	, <b>%</b>		
Ь.	1874	2.0				. !	Btu	, ex	٩	94			TENP	) SSFS	EMP		
æ	2060	2.94					Q=1.25	INTER		η, = . νευ ο		I EP A	OUTLET	RING LC	AUST T	RAT10	
L	2110	2.94	4.4"Hga		0			6		ū		INTERCOC	ITER COOLER	URBO BEA	NGINE EXH	F/A	••
9	545	66.7	$\nabla \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{D}$			SIAGE		3 ¢D STAGE COMPE	4			<u> </u>			. <u> </u>		1
2	740	7.45			6	)	Btu sec	ER- LER			Ч	78	0				
4	 545	3.24						LNI COOL				952	<b>6</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.6	
ĸ	671	3.26				NO CE		REF (3	<b>4</b>	ONCIT	6	46051	1.75	7075	7075	.14	
2	449-545	1.86				STP 510		222	6	יינ <b>וט</b> ישמים			1 1 1			1	
	449	1.86			G	)		- - -		DCED		25719	1.41			.52	
0	392	1.322					,	Θ	•	וודמתנוו		RPM					
POSITION	TEMPERATURE (°R)	PRESSURE ("H <sub>9</sub> ")				B2-	24	storet v	•	F	STAGE	TURBO SPEED	COMPR PRESSURE RATIO	CONPR EFFICIENCY	TURBINE EFFICIENCY	SHAFT HP	

Ĵ.

-5 

-

Same Starts Start

1

.

. . ...

. .

; ;

۰ . دې

? ∮

ì

FIGURE 2: Propulsion System Operating Parameters at minimum power conditions (15 BHP and 2000 RPM at 70,000 ft altitude)

.



Figure 3: Photograph of the turbocharger on the turbo test stand. B2-25



1

Figure 4: Simplified schematic of TMS high altitude test facility.



ч - -

-

÷.,

.

7. ·

Figure 5: TMS High Altitude Test Facility Layout

Ĩ

# DIGINE DYNAMOMETER TEST ON SUPERFLOW ST-896

in ....

.

1.5

. .

DATE:TEST_NJ	
ENGINE :	- 
	١
	۱ -
	-

# TEST IMTA

٦

RF#	Ţ	HF'	FA	FB	<b>A</b> 1	A2	A/F ISFC	
Ľ	LBS/AR		SOFM		LB/HFHR			
8	ē	Ū.	Ū.	Ñ	Ľ.	Ň	6.9.9.99	
8	Ē	Ŵ	Û	Ū	Ň	Ū	ũ.ũ ũ.ũũ	
Ŭ	Ŭ	Ņ	Ū	Ņ	Ū	Ŕ	0.00.00	
Ū	Ŭ	ß	ĕ	Ñ	Ē	Ū	0 0 0 00	
Ň		Ÿ.	Ū	Ŕ	Ň	Ū	ઇ.ઇ.ઇ.ઇ.ઇ.	

# EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

# CYL1 CYL2 CYL3 CYL4 CYL5 CYL6 CYL7 CYL8

136 136	120 128	136 135	72 72	72 72	72 72	72 72	72 72
134	128	136	72	72	72	72	72
136	125	132	72	72	72	72	- 72
136	120	136	72	72	72	72	- 72

## Figure 6: Copy of permanent data printout which will be provided by Superflow Dynomometer Console.



Figure 7: Photograph of high altitude vacuum chamber closed on tooling plate.



Figure 8: Photograph of high altitude test facility instrumentation console.

18 17 16 15 14 13 12 Ц Months 10 σ α 3 analysis, detailed design and inter-Prepare test facilities including Prepare a Test Program including Configuration, cycle and system ancillary equipment and instru-Conduct testing and development test procedures and test matrix Monthly Progress Reports of the turbocharger and turbo-Fabricate turbochargers and Detailed Final Report charger engine system ancillary equipment face requirements DESCRIPTION mentation Reporting • . TASK ŝ ဖ 2 -

4

یں۔ ج

:

.

Ŧ FIGURE 91 Proposed Task and Time Schedule with Major Milestones ( \*See Section 4.0 of Text for Milestone Identification

١

#### Heat Exchanger Design

...

1.1

. 12

 The charge air intercooler is to have two sections. The aft section accepts  $2^{NP}$  stage discharge air at  $292^{\circ}F$  and removes 372 BTU/min from that stream to provide  $3^{RP}$  stage inlet air at 85°F. The forward section accepts  $3^{RP}$  stage discharge air at  $292^{\circ}F$  and removes 372 BTU/min to deliver inlet manifold air at  $85^{\circ}F$ .

The heat exchanger is of counter-flow configuration with cooling air flowing the entire length from front to rear in designated tubes. The hot charge air streams flow forward in interstitial tubes which are manifolded together at the ends of their respective sections.

The tubes are to be constructed of graphite/polyamid material and would have a heregonal cross section, one-half inch on a side. This design allows the tubes to be nested together so that every "hot" tube is completely surrounded by "cold" tubes in solid contact with all of its sides. (as detailed in Appended Sketch). In the final analysis this comes to 160 hot tubes and 320 cold tubes.

Note that if a conventional aluminum gas-to-gas heat exchanger was scaled up to provide adequate surface area on the atmosphere side of the fins, the assembly would be unacceptably heavy. The proposed design is fabricated from graphite/polyamid sheet.

The intercooler performance would benefit from using the energy of the air downstream of the propeller to overcome the the pumping work of the heat exchanger. Whilst the propeller parameters are not fully known at this time, a cooler intake velocity of 170 ft/sec. at rated power has been assumed.

The resulting assembly of both cooler stages weighs from 65 to 75 lbs. and is intended to be an independently-mounted unit with an overall length of 10 feet.

APPENDIX NUMBER 5

. T

12

2,

•

- 1





.

.0

1.



THUNDER ENGINES INC.

Weight breakdonn of flight-weight in-line 4 (yl.

CYLINDER BLOCK, WITH LINERS.	47.5 <i>Ib</i> .
MAIN BEARING FRAME.	10.5
CRANKSHAPT	27.0
RODS. & PISTONS	9.6
CYLINDER HEAD WITH VALVES	21.0
CAMSHAFT & DRIVE	9.1
OIL PUMP & DRIVE	8.4
WATER PUMP & DRIVE	5.0
INLET MANIFOLD	12.6
IGNITION (8 COILS)	15.4.7 lbs.

For V-6 Configuration. Drifference - 9.5 lbs. 38 BLOCK - 3.5 7 MAIN BRGS. - 3.0 24 CRANKSHAFT + 2.9 12.5 RODS & PISTONIS +9.0 16 lbs. 2 HEADS D +6.3 18.9 lbs. IGNITION (12 COILS) Nett Difference + 2.2 V-6 TOTAL 156.9 1bs. for V-6

THE STAFF AND FACILITIES STRUCTURE OF THUNDER ENGINES

्र ः

1.1

. . .





١

. 1

----

e.

i .

.

57

14.

I.L.C. REPORT INSERT

#### PROPELLER DESCRIPTION

#### Functions Provided

The propeller subsystem is designed to perform the dual functions of propulsion and control

#### Aerodynamic Configuration

The propeller is to be configured primarily for high propulsive efficiency in the low-speed environment. Airfoil selection, blade planform taper and twist are selected for this efficiency requirement, since the chosen methods of manufacture provide total design freedom of aerodynamic shape factors.

#### Control Functions

The control function is achieved by a gimballing action of the hub permitting  $a \pm 25^{\circ}$  tilt of the rotational plane and thrust vector in both vertical and horizontal directions.

This feature gives the capability of applying powerful pitching and yawing moments to the vehicle whether it is at rest or underway.

In addition, the propeller is designed with the capability of negative pitch settings, thru beta control, which will allow reverse thrust maneuvering.

#### Structural Features

Because of the extremely light propeller weight required, the construction will be primarily of composite materials with a minimum of fasteners and fittings made of metal.

Primary blade and hub structures will utilize Kevlar-epoxy prepreg laminating materials and honeycomb sandwich techniques.

Figures 1 thru 4 show some of the specific structural properties of Kevlar laminates as compared with the conventional lightweight materials, aluminum alloy and titanium.

An additional weight advantage of designing the propeller in Kevlar material is that the usual gage-thickness limitations of sheet metal are eliminated. Serviceable sandwich facings of .008 inch or even down to .004 are practical.

Where localized exceptional stiffness/strength is required in the blade or hub, graphite fibers will be used.

#### TM DEVELOPMENT, INC.

÷

r. 1

#### I.L.C. REPORT INSERT - PAGE 2

#### Fatigue and Fail-Safe Features

The laboratory and operational experience with well-designed fiberglass and Kevlar laminate structures has demonstrated their exceptional tolerance to conditions which are often fatal to metal structures. Corrosion, accidental scratches or other "notch" damage and minor manufacturing defects are all critical to lightweight metal structures but generally have no adverse effect on the composite structures proposed for this propeller.

Multiply-redundant load paths are designed into the propeller structural and materials configuration, giving a highly mission-reliable subsystem with a negligible maintenance burden.

#### Propeller Structural Dynamics

This very lightweight and flexible propeller and vehicle mounting must be designed and analyzed for dynamic stability.

Some items for analytical consideration include:

Propeller rotating natural frequencies and resonance points.

Aeroelastic behaviour, such as flutter and divergence.

Propeller mounting stiffness related to whirl mode phenomena.

A three blade propeller configuration is planned, which will provide for good aerodynamic efficiency and minimal vibration tendencies.

Special propeller design features are planned which will allow a minimum weight propeller by virtue of structural simplicity and absolute minimal steady and vibratory loadings. These design features will also tend to minimize any vibrations transmitted to the flight vehicle

#### High Altitude Tolerance

Special design attention will be given to high altitude functioning of the propeller. This will include:

Low temperature dimensional behaviour of structural and mechanical elements, such as bearings.

Atmospheric pressure cycling and appropriate venting of hollow compartments.

Lubrication requirements for trouble-free operation of pitch change mechanisms.

Change in structural properties of materials at low temperatures.

C-2

SPECIFIC MATERIALS PROPERTIES

and the second second second

No. O BRANK

1. Same

FIGURE 1

ан 1

: . :

9

Nor







C-3

APPENDIX D

,

.

с. .

.

; ;

. 1. .

.



and the states of

ţ.

# COMMAND AND TELEMETRY SUBSYSTEM FOR A LINE-OF-SIGHT AIR SHIP CONTROL LINK

Presented to ICL Industries 31 August 1982

Prepared by 7. A. A. M. T. G. Hall

Approved by Baı tholomew

GOVERNMENT Electronics Division + 8201 E. MODOWELL ROAD. SCOTTEDALE ARIZ 85252

D-1

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

۰.

22 22 22

.

Motorola is pleased to submit this response to ILC Industries for an air-to-ground telemetry link and a ground-to-air command link. The communication links are part of an air ship system concept study for NASA Wallops Flight Center under Contract Number NAS-6-3131.

This response contains a technical description of both terminals and ROM size, weight and power estimates for the air ship terminal.

# 2.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The functional block diagram for the communication subsystems is shown in Figure 1. The air ship is located overhead at a line-of-sight range of approximately 70,000 feet. Approximately 100 sensor outputs are telemetered down to a microprocessor, which displays air ship status to a pilot and encodes the pilot's responses for transmission to the air ship control junctions.

The following sections develop the communication requirements and discuss the hardware approach. Section 2.1 develops the data rates. Section 2.2 presents the link analysis and the transmit power and receiver sensitivity requirements. Section 2.3 describes the telemetry system and Section 2.4 describes the command system. Section 3.0 contains the size, weight and power estimates.

# 2.1 DATA RATE REQUIREMENTS

# 2.1.1 <u>Telemetry</u> (Down Link)

The telemetry data consists of 100 analog voltages from air ship sensors. The time constant associated with each sensor is on the order of 30 seconds; therefore, the 20 dB information bandwidth is less than 0.05 Hz and a sample of 1 in 10 seconds is adequate. The telemetry encoder will sequentially select each sensor output, quantize the voltage to a precision of 8 bits and insert the digital data into the telemetry format. The data rate, without format overhead, is 80 bits per second (100 sensors x 8 bits/sample x 0.1 sample/second). Since

D-2
. ANT FSK RCVR ANT. CONTROL FUNCTIONS **GROUND TERMINAL** . AIR SHIP TERMINAL TLM DECODER XMTR FSK Ч : : ENCODER ..... CMD ENCODER CMD TLM du. DISPLAY PILOT CMD RCVR CMD XMTR SENSOR OUTPUTS ANT ANT ł D-3

Functional Block Diagram of the Telemetry and Control System Figure 1.

the bit rate is so low, a Manchester code can be used with essentially no penalty and will simplify the telemetry frame synchronization. This code encodes a binary ONE as the symbol pair O1 and encodes a binary ZERO as the symbol pair 10. The symbol pairs 11 and 00 do not appear in the data stream and can be used for unambiguous telemetry frame synchronization. Eight bit words are encoded into 16 symbols; therefore, a 16-symbol sequence of eight ONE symbols followed by eight ZERO symbols is selected for frame synchronization. Frame synchornization is guaranteed at the first complete telemetry frame received. The Manchester encoding plus the frame sync data will raise the telemetry data rate to 176 bps.

# 2.1.2 <u>Command (Up Link)</u>

ŝ

.

There are 6-8 commandable functions for the up link with time constants on the order of 30 seconds during station-keying and 5 seconds during ascent and descent. Assuming 8-bit precision, the maximum data rate is 8 functions x 8 bits/function  $\div$  5 seconds/function a 64 bps. Again, Manchester encoding with a 16-symbol frame sync word only increases the data rate to 144 bps and provides rapid and positive synchronization.

# 2.2 LINK ANALYSIS

The data rates for these links are low and the communication range is short. Therefore, there is considerable flexibility in selecting antenna gains, transmitted power levels and receiver sensitivities. The criteria used in selecting these parameters was to provide large margin to preclude loss of an air ship due to marginal communications and to simplify air ship hardware. These factors led to the selection of non-coherent frequency shift key (FSK) modulation with a transmitter power of 0.1 watts and a receiver noise figure of 10 dB. The air ship antenna gains are specified at -10dBi and the ground terminal antennas are specified at +6 dBi. These parameters provide a BER of less than  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  and the system margin exceeds 26 dB.

Additional margin for the command link`can be provided at low cost by increasing the ground transmitter to a watt.

**D-4** 

### 3.0 MECHANICAL

#### 31. AIR SHIP TERMINAL

The air ship terminal is composed of the telemetry encoder-transmitter and the command receiver-decoder. Since a command link failure will compromise air ship safety, two command receiver-decoders and two telemetry encoder transmitters are proposed for 100 percent redundancy of major control functions. Table 1 presents the size, weight and power budgets for a single system.

Function	Volume (in <sup>3</sup> )	Power. (Watts)	Weight (lbs)
TLM Encoder	27	2.0	1.4
TCM XMTR/CMD REC/ANT.	767	30.0	24.0
CMD Decoder/µP	24	2.3	1.2
Housing/Thermal Control		5.0	2.0
Totals	818	39.3	28.6

Table 1. Size, Weight and Power Estimate for the Air Ship Telemetry

Therefore, two complete sets of electronics will be less than 60 pounds and will require less than 40 watts, depending on whether all redundant units are powered simultaneously. The unit will be enclosed with an insulating material for thermal control.

### 3.2 GROUND TERMINAL

í.

-

The ground terminal will be housed in a standard relay rack and will use commercial power supplies. Since size, weight and power are not significant parameters, no estimates for these parameters have been prepared.